

**GENERALIZATION OF THE FOSCOLO-GIBILARO
ANALYSIS OF DYNAMIC WAVES**

By

Daniel D. Joseph

IMA Preprint Series # 517

April 1989

GENERALIZATION OF THE FOSCOLO-GIBILARO ANALYSIS OF DYNAMIC WAVES

by Daniel D. Joseph

1. Introduction

This note reports an attempt to obtain the particle phase pressure in the Foscolo-Gibilaro [2] one-dimensional, particle in a fluidized bed model, from a constitutive hypothesis which appears to be implied by their work. Our hypothesis leads to their expression plus another term which is proportional to the space derivative of the particle velocity. We think of this term as representing a change in the microstructure, the positions of the particles relative to one another. This term is also missing from the one-dimensional equations which were recently derived by G.K. Batchelor [1]. When the new term is added we find that the state of uniform fluidization is always unstable.

2. Dynamical equations

Foscolo and Gibilaro [2] start with the coupled one-dimensional equation for the particles and fluid phase. The particle phase equations are

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \phi u_p}{\partial z} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\phi \rho_p \left[\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial t} + u_p \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial z} \right] = - \phi \rho_p g + \mathcal{F} - \frac{\partial p_p}{\partial z}. \quad (2)$$

where u_p is the particle velocity, ϕ is the particle volume fraction, $\phi = 1 - \varepsilon$ where ε is the fluids fraction, ρ_p is the particle density, \mathcal{F} is the interaction force, the force that the fluid exerts on the particle and p_p is the particle phase

pressure. The fluid equations are of the same form except that the subscript p is replaced by f , ϕ is replaced by ε and \mathcal{F} by minus \mathcal{F} .

Foscolo and Gibilaro modeled the interaction force \mathcal{F} and the particle phase pressure in a manner that decouples the equations for the fluid and solid phases. This gives rise to a system of equations for the particles only, called the particle bed model.

It is convenient to introduce a dynamic pressure π_p into (2) by writing

$$\rho_p = P + \pi_p, \quad (3)$$

$$\phi \rho_p g + \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Then (2) reduces to

$$\phi \rho_p \left[\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial t} + u_p \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial z} \right] = \mathcal{F} - \frac{\partial \pi_p}{\partial z}. \quad (5)$$

3. Drag on a particle in a steady fluidized suspension

To get their equations they first derived an interesting expression F_d (1) for the drag force exerted by the fluid on a single particle in a uniform fluidized suspension. This expression relies strongly on the well-known correlation of Richardson and Zaki for fluidized and sedimenting beds of monosized spherical particles

$$u_c = V\varepsilon^n \quad (6)$$

where

$$u_c = u_p \phi + u_f \varepsilon \quad (7)$$

is the composite velocity, the volume flux divided by total area and u_c is independent of z , $\frac{\partial u_c}{\partial z} = 0$. Of course $V = u_c$ when $\varepsilon = 1$, the steady terminal velocity of a freely falling single sphere in a sea of fluid. The exponent n depends on the Reynolds number $Re = \frac{dV}{\mu}$, where d is the diameter

$$n = \begin{cases} 4.65 & \text{for } Re < 0.2, \\ 4.4 Re^{-0.03} & \text{for } 0.2 < Re < 1, \\ 4.4 Re^{-0.1} & \text{for } 1 < Re < 500, \\ 2.4 & \text{for } Re > 500. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Foscolo-Gibilario replace 4.65 with $4.8=2(2.4)$ for reasons to be made clearer later.

There is a huge amount of fluid mechanics buried in the Richardson-Zaki correlation. This is hidden in the drag law for particles falling under gravity in steady flow. Let $F_d(\varepsilon)$ be the drag on a single particle in a freely falling suspension with a water fraction ε . When $\varepsilon = 1$ we get a drag law for the free fall of a single sphere which is Stokes drag when V is small enough; for larger V the drag is given by

$$F_d(1) = \frac{\rho V^2}{2} \frac{\pi d^2}{4} C_D \quad (9)$$

where C_D is given by an empirical correlation, for example,

$$C_D = \left(0.63 + \frac{4.90}{\sqrt{Re}} \right)^2$$

said to be due to Dallavalle. Foscolo and Gibilaro produce the formula

$$F_d(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon F_d(1) \quad (10)$$

from an argument which says that in a fluidized bed in steady flow, the total force F on a sphere is the sum

$$F(\varepsilon, \text{Re}) = F_d(\varepsilon) - F_b(\varepsilon) \quad (11)$$

where

$$F_b(\varepsilon) = \frac{\pi d^3}{6} (\rho_p - \rho_c) g \quad (12)$$

is the buoyant force using the effective density

$$\rho_c = \varepsilon \rho_f + \phi \rho_p \quad (13)$$

of the composite fluid. Since $\phi = 1 - \varepsilon$

$$F_b(\varepsilon) = -\frac{\pi d^3}{\rho} (\rho_p - \rho_f) g \varepsilon = \varepsilon F_b(1). \quad (14)$$

In steady flow, $F = 0$ and

$$F_d(\varepsilon) = F_b(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon F_b(1) = \varepsilon F_d(1). \quad (15)$$

We never see steady flow in a fluidized bed, the particles always jiggle about; steady is in some statistical sense, whatever that may be. In any interpretation

$$u_p = 0 \text{ in steady flow.} \quad (16)$$

Equation (15) is all that is required to get the drag on a single particle in a fluidized suspension in steady flow. The hydrodynamic content is all buried in the drag correlation (9). We may write $F_d(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon F_1(V)$. To see how $F_d(\varepsilon)$ depends on the fluidizing velocity u_c , Foscolo and Gibilaro note that (9) implies that

$$F_d = \varepsilon \begin{cases} 3\pi\mu V & \text{(laminar)} \\ 0.055\pi\rho d^2 V^2 & \text{(turbulent)}. \end{cases}$$

They next note that the Richardson and Zaki correlation (6) and (8), with 4.8 replacing 4.65, implies that

$$F_d = \varepsilon^{-3.8} \begin{cases} 3\pi\mu u_c & \text{(laminar)} \\ 0.055\pi\rho d^2 u_c^2 & \text{(turbulent)}. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

This is good, we have $F_d(u_c, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-3.8} F_d(u_c)$, independent of V for low and high Reynolds numbers. Now we look for an equivalent expression, valid for all Reynolds numbers in steady flow and

$$F_d(\varepsilon) = F_d(\varepsilon, u_c, V) = \varepsilon^{-3.8} g(u_c, V) \quad (18)$$

which will reduce to (17) at low and high Re. Clearly

$$g(u_c, V) = \varepsilon^{4.8} F_d(1) = \left(\frac{u_c}{V}\right)^{\frac{4.8}{n}} F_d(1).$$

Hence

$$F_d(\varepsilon, u_c, V) = \varepsilon^{-3.8} \left(\frac{u_c}{V}\right)^{\frac{4.8}{n}} F_d(1). \quad (19)$$

This is just another way of writing $F_d(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon F_d(1)$ when 4.65 is replaced with 4.8 which is useful in motivating the constitutive equation (21) below.

4. The first constitutive hypothesis giving the force per unit volume on the spheres

Foscolo and Gibilaro assume that in unsteady flow the force on a particle is given by the expression (19) with u_c replaced by the slip velocity

$$u_c - u_p = (1 - \varepsilon)u_p + \varepsilon u_f - u_p = \varepsilon(u_p - u_f). \quad (20)$$

Then the unsteady drag force is

$$F_d(\varepsilon, u_c - u_p, V) = \varepsilon^{-3.8} \left(\frac{u_c - u_p}{V} \right)^{\frac{4.8}{n}} F_d(1). \quad (21)$$

In steady flow, $u_p = 0$, and (21) reduces to

$$F_d(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon F_d(1) \quad (22)$$

where balancing drag and buoyancy for a single sphere gives

$$F_d(1) = \frac{\pi d^3}{6} (\rho_p - \rho_f) g.$$

The total force on single particle in a fluidized suspension is given by

$$F = F_d - F_b = \frac{\pi d^3 g}{6} (\rho_p - \rho_f) \left\{ \varepsilon - \left[\frac{u_c - u_p}{V} \right]^{\frac{4.8}{n}} \varepsilon^{-3.8} \right\}. \quad (23)$$

The force per unit volume due to all n spheres is

$$\mathcal{F} = NF \quad (24)$$

where

$$N = \frac{\phi}{\pi d^3 / 6} = \frac{n}{\text{volume}}. \quad (25)$$

Hence, the total force on the particles per unit volume is

$$\mathcal{F} = \phi (\rho_p - \rho_f) g \left\{ \varepsilon - \left[\frac{u_c - u_p}{V} \right]^{\frac{4.8}{n}} \varepsilon^{-3.8} \right\}. \quad (26)$$

In steady flow, u_p and $F = 0$.

Recent IMA Preprints

#	Author/s	Title
441	Laurent Habsieger and Dennis Stanton	More Zeros of Krawtchouk Polynomials
442	K.M. Ramachandran	Nearly Optimal Control of Queues in Heavy Traffic with Heterogeneous Servers
443	Y.M. Zhu and G. Yin	A New Algorithm for Constrained Adaptive Array Processing
444	G. Yin and K.M. Ramachandran	A Differential Delay Equation with Wideband Noise Perturbations
445	Chaitan P. Gupta	Integral Type Asymptotic Conditions for the Solvability of a Periodic Fourth Order Boundary Value Problem
446	Chaitan P. Gupta	A Two-Point Boundary Value Problem of Dirichlet Type with Resonance at Infinitely Many Eigenvalues
447	Jong-Shenq Guo	On the Quenching Behavior of a Semilinear Parabolic Equation
448	Bei Hu	A Quasi-Variational Inequality Arising in Elastohydrodynamics
449	E. Somersalo, G. Beylkin, R. Burrige and M. Cheney	Inverse Scattering Problem for the Schrödinger Equation in Three Dimensions: Connections Between Exact and Approximate Methods
450	J.H. Dinitz and D.R. Stinson	Some New Perfect One-Factorizations from Starters in Finite Fields
451	Albert Fässler and René Jeanneret	Optimum Filter for DC/AC-Converter in Electronics
452	Paul Lemke	On the Question of Obtaining Optimal Partitions of Point Sets in E^d with Hyperplane Cuts
453	Paul Lemke and Michael Werman	On the Complexity of Inverting the Autocorrelation Function of a Finite Integer Sequence, and the Problem of Locating n Points on a Line, Given the $\binom{n}{2}$ Unlabelled Distances Between Them
454	Chris J. Budd, Avner Friedman, Bryce McLeod and Adam A. Wheeler	The Space Charge Problem
455	Jerrold R. Griggs, Daniel J. Kleitman and Aditya Shastri	Spanning Trees With Many Leaves in Cubic Graphs
456	Akos Seress	On λ -designs with $\lambda = 2P$
457	Chjan C. Lim	Quasi-periodic Dynamics of Desingularized Vortex Models
458	Chjan C. Lim	On Singular Hamiltonians: The Existence of Quasi-periodic Solutions and Nonlinear Stability
459	Eugene Fabes, Mitchell Luskin and George R. Sell	Construction of Inertial Manifolds by Elliptic Regularization
460	Matthew Witten	A Quantitative Model for Lifespan Curves
461	Jay A. Wood	Self-Orthogonal Codes and The topology of Spinor Group
462	Avner Friedman and Miguel A. Herrero,	A Nonlinear Nonlocal Wave Equation Arising in Combustion Theory
463	Avner Friedman and Victor Isakov,	On the Uniqueness in the Inverse Conductivity Problem with One Measurement
464	Yisong Yang	Existence, Regularity, and Asymptotic Behavior of the Solutions to the Ginzburg-Landau Equations on \mathbb{R}^3
465	Chjan. C. Lim	On Symplectic Tree Graphs
466	Wilhelm I. Fushchich, Ivan Krivsky and Vladimir Simulik,	On Vector and Pseudovector Lagrangians for Electromagnetic Field
467	Wilhelm I. Fushchich,	Exact Solutions of Multidimensional Nonlinear Dirac's and Schrödinger's Equations
468	Wilhelm I. Fushchich and Renat Zhdanov,	On Some New Exact Solutions of Nonlinear D'Allembert and Hamilton Equations
469	Brian A. Coomes,	The Lorenz System Does Not Have a Polynomial Flow
470	J.W. Helton and N.J. Young,	Approximation of Hankel Operators: Truncation Error in an H^∞ Design Method
471	Gregory Ammar and Paul Gader,	A Variant of the Gohberg-Semencul Formula Involving Circulant Matrices
472	R.L. Fosdick and G.P. MacSithigh,	Minimization in Nonlinear Elasticity Theory for Bodies Reinforced with Inextensible Cords
473	Fernando Reitich,	Rapidly Stretching Plastic Jets: The Linearized Problem
474	Francisco Bernis and Avner Friedman,	Higher Order Nonlinear Degenerate Parabolic Equations
475	Xinfu Chen and Avner Friedman,	Maxwell's Equations in a Periodic Structure
476	Avner Friedman and Michael Vogelius	Determining Cracks by Boundary Measurements
477	Yuji Kodama and John Gibbons,	A Method for Solving the Dispersionless KP Hierarchy and its Exact Solutions II
478	Yuji Kodama,	Exact Solutions of Hydrodynamic Type Equations Having Infinitely Many Conserved Densities
479	Robert Carroll,	Some Forced Nonlinear Equations and the Time Evolution of Spectral Data
480	Chjan. C. Lim	Spanning Binary Trees, Symplectic Matrices, and Canonical Transformations for Classical N-body Problems
481	E.F. Assmus, Jr. and J.D. Key,	Translation Planes and Derivation Sets
482	Matthew Witten,	Mathematical Modeling and Computer Simulation of the Aging-Cancer Interface

Recent IMA Preprints (Continued)

#	Author/s	Title
483	Matthew Witten and Caleb E. Finch,	Re-Examining The Gompertzian Model of Aging
484	Bei Hu,	A Free Boundary Problem for a Hamilton-Jacobi Equation Arising in Ions Etching
485	T.C. Hu, Victor Klee and David Larman,	Optimization of Globally Convex Functions
486	Pierre Goossens,	Shellings of Tilings
487	D. David, D. D. Holm, and M.V. Tratnik,	Integrable and Chaotic Polarization Dynamics in Nonlinear Optical Beams
488	D. David, D.D. Holm and M.V. Tratnik,	Horseshoe Chaos in a Periodically Perturbed Polarized Optical Beam
489	Laurent Habsieger,	Linear Recurrent Sequences and Irrationality Measures
490	Laurent Habsieger,	MacDonald Conjectures and The Selberg Integral
491	David Kinderlehrer and Giorgio Vergara-Caffarelli,	The Relaxation of Functionals with Surface Energies
492	Richard James and David Kinderlehrer,	Theory of Diffusionless Phase Transitions
493	David Kinderlehrer,	Recent Developments in Liquid Crystal Theory
494	Niky Kamran and Peter J. Olver,	Equivalence of Higher Order Lagrangians 1. Formulation and Reduction
495	Lucas Hsu, Niky Kamran and Peter J. Olver,	Equivalence of Higher Order Lagrangians II. The Cartan Form for Particle Lagrangians
496	D.J. Kaup and Peter J. Olver,	Quantization of BiHamiltonian Systems
497	Metin Arik, Fahrünisa Neyzi, Yavuz Nutku, Peter J. Olver and John M. Verosky	Multi-Hamiltonian Structure of the Born-Infeld Equation
498	David H. Wagner,	Detonation Waves and Deflagration Waves in the One Dimensional ZND Model for High Mach Number Combustion
499	Jerrold R. Griggs and Daniel J. Kleitman,	Minimum Cutsets for an Element of a Boolean Lattice
500	Dieter Jungnickel,	On Affine Difference Sets
501	Pierre Leroux,	Reduced Matrices and q-log Concavity Properties of q-Stirling Numbers
502	A. Narain and Y. Kizilyalli,	The Flow of Pure Vapor Undergoing Film Condensation Between Parallel Plates
503	Donald A. French,	On the Convergence of Finite Element Approximations of a Relaxed Variational Problem
504	Yisong Yang,	Computation, Dimensionality, and Zero Dissipation Limit of the Ginzburg-Landau Wave Equation
505	Jürgen Sprekels,	One-Dimensional Thermomechanical Phase Transitions with Non-Convex Potentials of Ginzburg-Landau Type
506	Yisong Yang,	A Note On Nonabelian Vortices
507	Yisong Yang,	On the Abelian Higgs Models with Sources
508	Chjan. C. Lim,	Existence of Kam Tori in the Phase Space of Vortex Systems
509	John Weiss,	Bäcklund Transformations and the Painlevé Property
510	Pu Fu-cho and D.H. Sattinger,	The Yang-Baxter Equation for Integrable Systems
511	E. Bruce Pitman and David G. Schaeffer,	Instability and Ill-Posedness in Granular Flow
512	Brian A. Coomes,	Polynomial Flows on C^n
513	Bernardo Cockburn, Suchung Hou and Chi-Wang Shu,	The Runge-Kutta Local Projection Discontinuous Galerkin Finite Element Method for Conservation Laws IV: The Multidimensional Case
514	Peter J. Olver,	Invariant Theory, Equivalence Problems, and the Calculus of Variations
515	Daniel D. Joseph and Thomas S. Lundgren with an appendix by R. Jackson and D.A. Saville,	Ensemble Averaged and Mixture Theory Equations
516	P. Singh, Ph. Caussignac, A. Fortes, D.D. Joseph and T. Lundgren,	Stability of Periodic Arrays of Cylinders Across the Stream by Direct Simulation
517	Daniel D. Joseph,	Generalization of the Foscolo-Gibilario Analysis of Dynamic Waves
518	A. Narain and D.D. Joseph,	Note on the Balance of Energy at a Phase Change Interface
519	Daniel D. Joseph,	Remarks on inertial radii, persistent normal stresses, secondary motions , and non-elastic extensional viscosities
520	D. D. Joseph,	Mathematical Problems Associated with the Elasticity of Liquids
521	Henry C. Simpson and Scott J. Spector,	Some Necessary Conditions at an Internal Boundary for Minimizers in Finite Elasticity
522	Peter Gritzmann and Victor Klee,	On the 0-1 Maximization of Positive Definite Quadratic Forms