

**A TWO-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM OF DIRICHLET
TYPE WITH RESONANCE AT INFINITELY MANY EIGENVALUES**

By

Chaitan P. Gupta

IMA Preprint Series # 446

August 1988

A Two-Point Boundary Value Problem of Dirichlet type
with Resonance at Infinitely many Eigenvalues.

by

Chaitan P. Gupta*
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Northern Illinois University
DeKalb, IL 60115

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $g : [0, \pi] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a given function satisfying Caratheodory's conditions and $h : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a given function in $L^1[0, \pi]$. This paper is devoted to the study of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned}u''(x) + u(x) + g(x, u(x)) &= h(x), \quad x \in [0, \pi], \\ u(0) = u(\pi) &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

when the asymptotic values of $u^{-1}g(x, u)$ cross infinitely many eigen-values of the linear eigen-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}u''(x) + \lambda u(x) &= 0, \quad x \in [0, \pi], \\ u(0) = u(\pi) &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

We may note that the boundary value problem (1.1) is at resonance, since the linear eigen-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}u''(x) + u(x) &= 0, \quad x \in [0, \pi], \\ u(0) = u(\pi) &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

* Part of the work done while visiting the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications at the University of Minnesota.

has $u(x) = A \sin x$, $A \in \mathbf{R}$, as non-trivial solutions. The boundary value problem (1.1) has recently been studied by several authors, [2], [4], [5], [9] [10] in case of some resonance at the second eigen-value $\lambda = 4$, (but not crossing it), for (1.2) under various conditions on $g(x, u)$ and $h(x)$. Also the author with Mawhin, [3], studied the periodic boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u''(x) + u(x) + f(u(x))u'(x) + g(x, u(x)) &= h(x), \quad x \in [0, 2\pi], \\ u(0) - u(2\pi) = u'(0) - u'(2\pi) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

when the asymptotic values of $u^{-1}g(x, u)$ cross infinitely many eigen-values of the linear periodic boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u''(x) + \lambda u(x) &= 0, \quad x \in [0, 2\pi], \\ u(0) - u(2\pi) = u'(0) - u'(2\pi) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, motivated by the results of [3], we obtain the existence of a solution for (1.1) when $h(x) \in L^1[0, \pi]$ satisfy the condition

$$\int_0^\pi h(x) \sin x \, dx = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

and $g(x, u)$ satisfies the following supplementary conditions

$$g(x, u)u \geq 0, \tag{1.4}$$

for a.e. $x \in [0, \pi]$ and all $u \in \mathbf{R}$, and there exists a function $\Gamma(x) \in L^1[0, \pi]$ with

$$\|\Gamma\|_{L^1[0, \pi]} \leq \frac{1}{15.87}, \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\limsup_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, u)}{u} \leq \Gamma(x), \tag{1.6}$$

uniformly for a.e. $x \in [0, \pi]$. We note that conditions (1.5), (1.6) allow the crossing of

infinitely many eigen-values of the linear eigen-value problem (1.2) by the asymptotic values of $u^{-1}g(x,u)$.

Our methods use the version of Leray-Schauder continuation theorem as given by Mawhin in [6], [7], [8] and some of the ideas used earlier by the author in [1].

2. Main Results.

Let X, Y denote the Banach spaces $X = C[0, \pi]$ and $Y = L^1[0, \pi]$ with their usual norms. Let Y_2 be the subspace of Y spanned by the function $\sin x$, i.e.,

$$Y_2 = \{u \in Y \mid u(x) = \alpha \sin x, \text{ a.e. on } [0, \pi], \alpha \in \mathbf{R}\}, \quad (2.1)$$

and let Y_1 be the subspace of Y such that $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$. We note that for $u \in Y$ we can write

$$u(x) = u(x) - \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi u(t) \sin t \, dt\right) \sin x + \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi u(t) \sin t \, dt\right) \sin x, \quad (2.2)$$

$x \in [0, \pi]$. We define the canonical projection operators $P : Y \rightarrow Y_1$; $Q : Y \rightarrow Y_2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} P(u) &= u(x) - \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi u(t) \sin t \, dt\right) \sin x, \\ Q(u) &= \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi u(t) \sin t \, dt\right) \sin x, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for $u \in Y$. We note that, for $u \in Y$,

$$\|Pu\|_Y \leq \left(1 + \frac{4}{\pi}\right) \|u\|_Y. \quad (2.4)$$

Clearly, $Q = I - P$, where I denotes the identity mapping on Y , and the projections P and Q are continuous. Now let $X_2 = X \cap Y_2$. Clearly X_2 is a closed subspace of X . Let X_1 be the closed subspace of X such that $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$. We note that $P(X) \subset X_1$, $Q(X) \subset X_2$ and the projection $P|X : X \rightarrow X_1$ and $Q|X : X \rightarrow X_2$ are

continuous. In the following, X, Y, P, Q will refer to the Banach spaces and the projections as defined above and we shall not distinguish between $P, P|X$ (resp. $Q, Q|X$) and depend on the context for proper meaning.

Also for $u \in X, v \in Y$, let $(u, v) = \int_0^\pi u(x)v(x)dx$ denote the duality pairing between X and Y . We note that for $u \in X, v \in Y$, so that $u = Pu + Qu, v = Pv + Qv$, we have

$$(u, v) = (Pu, Pv) + (Qu, Qv). \quad (2.5)$$

Define a linear operator $L : D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ by setting

$$D(L) = \{u \in X \mid u'(x) \in AC[0, \pi], u(0) = u(\pi) = 0\}, \quad (2.6)$$

and for $u \in D(L)$,

$$Lu = u'' + u. \quad (2.7)$$

(Her $AC[0, \pi]$ denotes the space of real-valued absolutely continuous functions on $[0, \pi]$).

Let, now, for $h \in Y_1$, i.e., $h \in L^1[0, \pi]$ with $\int_0^\pi h(t)\sin t dt = 0$, Kh denote the unique solution of the problem

$$u''(x) + u(x) = h(x),$$

$$u(0) = u(\pi) = 0,$$

such that $\int_0^\pi u(x)\sin x dx = 0$. Indeed, for $x \in [0, \pi]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (Kh)(x) &= -\left(\int_0^x h(t)\sin t dt\right)\cos x + \left(\int_0^x h(t)\cos t dt\right)\sin x \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\int_0^\pi h(t)(\pi - t)\cos t dt\right)\sin x. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Also,

$$\|Kh\|_X \leq 3\|h\|_Y, \quad (2.9)$$

so that

$$\|K\| \leq 3. \quad (2.10)$$

Hence $K : Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is a bounded linear mapping and is such that for

$$u \in Y, KP(u) \in D(L) \text{ and } LKP(u) = P(u). \quad (2.11)$$

Definition 2.1: $g : [0, \pi] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Caratheodory's conditions if $g(x, \cdot)$ is continuous for a.e. $x \in [0, \pi]$, $g(\cdot, u)$ is measurable on $[0, \pi]$ for each $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \geq 0$, there is a function $\alpha_r(x) \in L^1[0, \pi]$ such that $|g(x, u)| \leq \alpha_r(x)$ for a.e. $x \in [0, \pi]$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$, $|u| \leq r$.

Let $g : [0, \pi] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying Caratheodory's conditions. Let $N : X \rightarrow Y$ be the nonlinear mapping defined by

$$(Nu)(x) = g(x, u(x)), \quad x \in [0, \pi], \quad (2.12)$$

for $u \in X$.

For $h(x) \in Y = L^1[0, \pi]$ with $\int_0^\pi h(x) \sin x \, dx = 0$, the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u'' + u + g(x, u) &= h(x), \quad x \in [0, \pi], \\ u(0) = u(\pi) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

now reduces to the functional equation

$$Lu + Nu = h, \quad (2.14)$$

in X , with $h \in Y_1$, given.

Notation 2.2: We denote by $\|P\|$ the norm of the continuous projection $P : Y \rightarrow Y_1$ defined in (2.3), so that

$$\|P\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Pu\|_Y}{\|u\|_Y} : u \in Y, u \neq 0 \right\}. \quad (2.15)$$

Also we denote by $\|K\|$ the norm of the bounded linear mapping $K : Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$, defined in (2.8), so that

$$\|K\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Kh\|_X}{\|h\|_Y} : h \in Y_1, h \neq 0 \right\}. \quad (2.16)$$

We observe from (2.4) and (2.9) that

$$\|P\| \leq 1 + \frac{4}{\pi}, \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\|K\| \leq 3. \quad (2.18)$$

Theorem 2.3: Let $\Gamma \in L^1[0, \pi]$ be such that

$$\|\Gamma\|_{L^1[0, \pi]} < \|P\|^2 \cdot \|K\|^{-1}. \quad (2.19)$$

Let $g : [0, \pi] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a given function satisfying Caratheodory's conditions and

(i) $g(x, u)u \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in [0, \pi]$, $u \in \mathbf{R}$

(ii) $\limsup_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, u)}{u} \leq \Gamma(x)$,

uniformly for a.e. $x \in [0, \pi]$.

Then, for each $h \in Y = L^1[0, \pi]$ with $\int_0^\pi h(x) \sin x \, dx = 0$, the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u'' + u + g(x, u) &= h(x), \quad x \in [0, \pi], \\ u(0) &= u(\pi) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

has at least one solution u in $X = C[0, \pi]$.

We need the following lemma in the proof of this theorem.

Lemma 2.4: Let Γ, g be as in Theorem 2.3, and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists constants $c_1 \geq 0, c_2 \geq 0$ such that for $u \in Y$,

$$(Nu, u) \geq \frac{1}{\|\Gamma\|_{L^1[0,\pi]} + \epsilon\pi} \cdot \|Nu\|_Y^2 - C_1 \|Nu\|_Y - C_2, \quad (2.21)$$

where N is defined by (2.12).

Proof of lemma: We first have from our assumptions on g that there exists a $\rho \geq 0$, depending on ϵ , such that

$$|g(x, u)| \leq (\Gamma(x) + \epsilon) |u(x)| \quad (2.22)$$

for a.e. $x \in [0, \pi]$, and $u \in \mathbf{R}$ with $|u| \geq \rho$.

Now, for $u \in Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} (Nu, u) &= \int_0^\pi g(x, u(x))u(x)dx = \int_0^\pi |g(x, u(x))| |u(x)| dx \\ &\geq \int_{|u(x)| \geq \rho} |g(x, u(x))| \cdot |u(x)| dx \\ &\geq \int_{|u(x)| \geq \rho} \frac{1}{(\Gamma(x) + \epsilon)} |g(x, u(x))|^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

in view of (2.22). We next note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|u(x)| \geq \rho} |g(x, u(x))| dx &= \int_{|u(x)| \geq \rho} \frac{|g(x, u(x))|}{(\Gamma(x) + \epsilon)^{1/2}} \cdot (\Gamma(x) + \epsilon)^{1/2} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{|u(x)| \geq \rho} \frac{1}{(\Gamma(x) + \epsilon)} \cdot |g(x, u(x))|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|u(x)| \geq \rho} (\Gamma(x) + \epsilon) dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{|u(x)| \geq \rho} \frac{1}{(\Gamma(x) + \epsilon)} \cdot |g(x, u(x))|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \cdot (\|\Gamma\|_{L^1[0,\pi]} + \epsilon\pi)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this in (2.23) we then get that

$$\begin{aligned} (Nu, u) &\geq \frac{1}{\|\Gamma\|_{L^1[0,\pi]} + \epsilon\pi} \left(\int_{|u(x)| \geq \rho} |g(x, u(x))| dx \right)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\|\Gamma\|_{L^1[0,\pi]} + \epsilon\pi} \cdot \|Nu\|_Y^2 - C_1 \|Nu\|_Y - C_2 \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C_1 \geq 0$, $C_2 \geq 0$, depending on Γ, ϵ only.

This completes the proof of the lemma.//.

Proof of Theorem 2.3: As noted above in (2.13), (2.14), the boundary value problem (2.20) reduces to the functional equation

$$Lu + Nu = h, \quad (2.24)$$

in X , with $h \in Y_1$. Now to solve the functional (2.24) it suffices to solve the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} Pu + KPNu &= h_1, \\ QNu &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

$u \in X$, $h_1 = Kh$, (note that since $h \in Y_1$, $Ph = h$, $Qh = 0$). Indeed, if $u \in X$ is a solution of (2.25) then $u \in D(L)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} LPu + LKPNu &= Lu + PNu = Lh_1 = h, \\ QNu &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives on adding that $Lu + Nu = h$.

Now, (2.25) is clearly equivalent to the single equation

$$Pu + QNu + KPNu = h_1, \quad (2.26)$$

which has the form of a compact perturbation of the Fredholm operator P of index zero.

We can, therefore, apply the version given in [6] (Theorem 1, Corollary 1) or [7]

(Theorem IV.4) or [8] of the Leray-Schauder Continuation theorem which ensures the existence of a solution for (2.26) if the set of all possible solutions of the family of equations

$$Pu + (1 - \lambda)Qu + \lambda QNu + \lambda KPNu = \lambda h_1, \quad (2.27)$$

$\lambda \in]0,1[$, is a priori bounded, independantly of λ . Notice that (2.27) is then equivalent to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} Pu + \lambda KPNu &= \lambda h_1, \\ (1-\lambda)Qu + \lambda QNu &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

If $u_\lambda \in X$ is a solution of (2.28) for some $\lambda \in]0,1[$, then $u_\lambda \in D(L)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (Pu_\lambda, PNu_\lambda) + \lambda(KPNu_\lambda, PNu_\lambda) &= \lambda(h_1, PNu_\lambda), \\ (1-\lambda)(Qu_\lambda, QNu_\lambda) + \lambda(QNu_\lambda, QNu_\lambda) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Pu_\lambda, PNu_\lambda) &= -\lambda(KPNu_\lambda, PNu_\lambda) + \lambda(h_1, PNu_\lambda) \\ &\leq \lambda \|KPNu_\lambda\|_X \cdot \|PNu_\lambda\|_Y + \lambda \|h_1\|_X \cdot \|PNu_\lambda\|_Y \\ &\leq \|K\| \cdot \|P\|^2 \cdot \|Nu_\lambda\|_Y^2 + \|P\| \cdot \|h_1\|_X \cdot \|Nu_\lambda\|_Y, \\ (Qu_\lambda, QNu_\lambda) &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives, on adding, that

$$(Nu_\lambda, u_\lambda) \leq \|K\| \cdot \|P\|^2 \cdot \|Nu_\lambda\|_Y^2 + \|P\| \cdot \|h_1\|_X \cdot \|Nu_\lambda\|_Y. \quad (2.29)$$

Let, now, $\epsilon > 0$ be such that

$$\|\Gamma\|_{L^1[0,\pi]} + \epsilon\pi < \|P\|^{-2} \cdot \|K\|^{-1}. \quad (2.30)$$

Using lemma 2.4 and (2.29) we get that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\|\Gamma\|_{L^1[0,\pi]} + \epsilon\pi} - \|K\| \cdot \|P\|^2 \right) \|Nu_\lambda\|_Y^2 \leq (C_1 + \|P\| \|h_1\|_X) \|Nu_\lambda\|_Y + C_2.$$

Accordingly, there exists a constant C_3 , independent of $\lambda \in]0,1[$, such that

$$\|Nu_\lambda\|_Y \leq C_3. \quad (2.31)$$

Using (2.31) in the first equation of (2.28) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Pu_\lambda\|_X &\leq \|K\| \cdot \|P\| \|Nu_\lambda\|_Y + \|h_1\|_X \\ &\leq \|K\| \cdot \|P\| C_3 + \|h_1\|_X \equiv C_4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Note that C_4 is a constant, independent of $\lambda \in]0,1[$.

It only remains to prove that there is a constant C , independent of $\lambda \in]0,1[$ such that $\|Qu_\lambda\|_X \leq C$. Let us suppose, on the other hand, that the set

$$\{\|Qu_\lambda\|_X : u_\lambda \text{ a solution of (2.28), } \lambda \in]0,1[\} \quad (2.33)$$

is unbounded.

We, now, have from the first equation in (2.28) that

$$LPu_\lambda + \lambda LKPNu_\lambda = \lambda Lh_1,$$

i.e.

$$LPu_\lambda + \lambda PNu_\lambda = \lambda h,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|LPu_\lambda\|_Y &\leq \lambda \|PNu_\lambda\|_Y + \lambda \|h\|_Y \\ &\leq \|P\| \cdot \|Nu_\lambda\|_Y + \|h\|_Y \\ &\leq \|P\| C_3 + \|h\|_Y \equiv C_5. \end{aligned}$$

Since, now,

$$LPu_\lambda = (Pu_\lambda)'' + Pu_\lambda,$$

$\|Pu_\lambda\|_X \leq C_4$, we see that $\|(Pu_\lambda)''\|_Y$ is bounded by a constant independent of $\lambda \in]0,1[$. It, now, follows that there is a constant C_6 , independent of $\lambda \in]0,1[$, using $u_\lambda(0) = u_\lambda(\pi) = 0$, that

$$\|(Pu_\lambda)'\|_X \leq C_6.$$

We next use the well-known estimate

$$\left| \frac{v(x)}{\sin x} \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \max_{s \in [0,\pi]} |v'(s)|,$$

for $v \in X$, $v(0) = v(\pi) = 0$; to get

$$|(Pu_\lambda)(x)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} C_6 \sin x, \quad (2.34)$$

for $x \in [0,\pi]$. Now, by (2.33) we see that there is a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$, $\lambda_n \in]0,1[$, such that

$$\|Qu_\lambda\|_X = \left| \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi u_{\lambda_n}(x) \sin x \, dx \right| \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We may assume, that

$$\int_0^\pi u_{\lambda_n}(x) \sin x \, dx \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that there is an n_0 such that

$$\int_0^\pi u_{\lambda_n}(t) \sin t \, dt \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4} C_6 \text{ for } n \geq n_0. \quad (2.35)$$

Now, for $n \geq n_0$, $x \in [0,\pi]$ we have using (2.34), (2.35) that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\lambda_n}(x) &= Qu_{\lambda_n}(x) + Pu_{\lambda_n}(x) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi u_{\lambda_n}(t) \sin t \, dt \right) \sin x + Pu_{\lambda_n}(x) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{4} C_6 \sin x - \frac{\pi}{2} C_6 \sin x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since, now, $g(x,v)v \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in [0,\pi]$, $v \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $g(x, u_{\lambda_n}(x)) \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in [0,\pi]$, $n \geq n_0$ and hence

$$(QNu_{\lambda_n}, Qu_{\lambda_n}) \geq 0, \text{ for } n \geq n_0.$$

It then follows from the second equation in (2.28) that

$$(1-\lambda_n)(Qu_{\lambda_n}, Qu_{\lambda_n}) = (1-\lambda_n) \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\int_0^\pi u_{\lambda_n}(t) \sin t \, dt \right)^2 \leq 0,$$

for $n \geq n_0$, a contradiction. Similarly, assuming $\int_0^\pi u_{\lambda_n}(t) \sin t \, dt \rightarrow -\infty$, leads to a contradiction. Thus the set in (2.33) is, indeed, bounded by a constant independent of $\lambda \in]0,1[$.

This completes the proof of the Theorem.//.

Remark 2.5: We notice from (2.17), (2.18) that

$$\|P\|^2 \cdot \|K\| \leq 3\left(1 + \frac{4}{\pi}\right)^2.$$

Also, it is easy to see that $3\left(1 + \frac{4}{\pi}\right)^2 < 15.87$. So if we assume that

$$\|\Gamma\|_{L^1[0,\pi]} \leq \frac{1}{15.87}$$

then

$$\|\Gamma\|_{L^1[0,\pi]} < \|P\|^{-2} \cdot \|K\|^{-1}.$$

Thus, theorem 2.3 immediately proves the assertion made in the introduction.

Bibliography

1. Gupta, C.P.:- Periodic Solutions for Coupled First Order Nonlinear Differential Systems of Hamiltonian type. *Nonlinear Analysis TMA*, 8(1984) p. 1271-1285.
2. Gupta, C.P.:- Solvability of a Boundary Value Problem with the Nonlinearity satisfying a Sign Condition. *Jour. Math. Anal. Appl.* 129 (1988) p. 482-492.
3. Gupta, C.P. and Mawhin, J.:- Asymptotic conditions at the two first eigenvalues for the periodic solutions of Lienard differential equations and an inequality of E. Schmidt., *Zeit. fur Anal. und ihre Anwendungen*, 3 (1984) p. 33-42.
4. Iannaci, R. and Nkashama, M.N.:- Unbounded perturbations of forced second order ordinary differential equations at resonance, *Jour. Diff. Equations.* 69 (1987) p.289-309.
5. Iannaci, R. and Nkashama, M.N.:- Nonlinear two-point boundary value problems at resonance without Landesman-Lazer condition, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
6. Mawhin, J.:- Landesman-Lazer type problems for nonlinear equations. *Conf. Sem. Mat. Univ. Bari.* no. 147, 1977.
7. Mawhin, J.:- Topological degree methods in nonlinear boundary value problems. *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Maths.* No. 40 (1979) American Mathematical Society. Providence, RI.
8. Mawhin, J.:- Compacite, monotonie et convexite dans l'etude de problemes aux limite semilineaires. *Sem. Anal. Moderne* no. 19 (1981) Universite de Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada.
9. Mawhin, J.; Ward, J.R. and Willem, M.:- Necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of a nonlinear two point boundary value problem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 93 (1985) p. 667-674.
10. Sanchez, L.:- Resonance problems with nonlinearity interfering with the second eigenvalue. (preprint, to appear)