
Conditional Path Sampling of SDEs and the Langevin MCMC Method

Andrew Stuart,
Mathematics Institute,
University of Warwick.

<http://www.maths.warwick.ac.uk/~stuart/>

collaboration with

Martin Hairer (Warwick), Gareth Roberts (Lancaster), Jochen Voss
(Warwick) and Petter Wiberg (Goldman-Sachs).

Future Challenges in
Multiscale Modeling and Simulation
The IMA, Minnesota,
Thursday, November 18th 2004

OVERVIEW

- Sampling and The Langevin Method
 - Infinite Dimensional Sampling and SPDEs
 - Theoretical Background
 - Simulations
 - Optimal Algorithms
 - Conclusions
-

SAMPLING AND THE LANGEVIN METHOD

The SDE

Assume that we know $q : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $\rho(x) = Cq(x)$, and $\rho(x)$ is a pdf from which we wish to sample. The basic idea of the Langevin algorithm is to generate paths of the SDE

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \nabla \log q(x) + \sqrt{2} \frac{dW}{dt}.$$

Provided the SDE is ergodic (a condition on the tails of q):

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \phi(x(t)) dt \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi(x) \rho(x) dx \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

We generalize this idea to situations where the distribution to be sampled is infinite dimensional.

Bridge Path Sampling

In some applications it is important to be able to generate paths of

$$\frac{dx}{du} = -\nabla F(x) + \gamma \frac{dB}{du}$$

subject to

$$x(0) = X^- \quad \& \quad x(1) = X^+.$$

Note that $x(u; \{W\})$ and that the observation of $x(1; \{W\})$ conditions the random variable W , and hence x .

Bridge Path Sampling

By generalizing the Langevin method we obtain the following SPDE for $x(u, t)$:

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial u^2} - \nabla \mathcal{F}(x) \right\} + \sqrt{2} \frac{\partial W}{\partial t},$$

$$x = X^-, \quad u = 0,$$

$$x = X^+, \quad u = 1,$$

$$x = x_0, \quad t = 0.$$

Here

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla F|^2 - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \Delta F(x).$$

and $\frac{\partial W}{\partial t}$ is space time white noise. [**Betz and Lorinczi, 2002, Stuart, Voss and Wiberg, 2004, Reznikoff and Vanden-Eijnden, 2004**].

Nonlinear Filter/Smother

In other applications it is important to be able to generate paths of

$$\frac{dx}{du} = -\nabla F(x) + \gamma \frac{dB_1}{du}, \quad X(0) \sim \mathcal{N}(a, \delta^2)$$

subject to observation of y solving

$$\frac{dy}{du} = Ax + \sigma \frac{dB_2}{du}, \quad Y(0) = 0.$$

That is, to sample from the *distribution* of

$$x(t) | \{y(s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq T}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Note that $x(u; \omega, \{B_1\})$ and $y(u; \omega, \{B_1\}, \{B_2\})$ and that observation of y conditions the random variable $(\omega, \{B_1\})$, and hence x .

Nonlinear Filter/Smoothen

From the Langevin method we obtain the following SPDE (after time-rescaling) for $x(u, t)$:

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = \epsilon^2 \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial u^2} - \nabla \mathcal{F}(x) \right\} + A^T \left\{ \frac{dy}{du} - Ax \right\} + \sqrt{2\sigma^2} \frac{\partial W}{\partial t},$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} = -\nabla F(x) + \frac{\gamma^2}{\delta^2} (x - a), \quad u = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} = -\nabla F(x), \quad u = 1,$$

$$x = x_0, \quad s = 0.$$

Here $\epsilon = \sigma/\gamma$, \mathcal{F} as for bridge sampling and $\frac{\partial W}{\partial t}$ is space-time white noise.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

The SPDEs as SDEs in Hilbert Space

In the Gaussian case (quadratic F) the SPDEs for sampling can be written as Hilbert space \mathcal{H} valued SDEs of the form

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \mathcal{L}x + h + \sqrt{2}\frac{dW}{dt} \quad (1)$$

and nonlinear problems (non-quadratic F) can be written as

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \mathcal{L}x + h + U'(x) + \sqrt{2}\frac{dW}{dt}. \quad (2)$$

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Ergodicity and Gaussian Invariant Measures

- For Gaussian processes we need only check that $m(u) = -\mathcal{L}^{-1}h$ is the mean and that the covariance $C(u, v)$ is the Green's function for $-\mathcal{L}$.
 - The Gaussian process (1) is then ergodic and has invariant measure $M(dx)$ in \mathcal{H} .
 - Let \mathcal{L} be the Laplacian. With Dirichlet boundary conditions M is Brownian bridge measure. For Dirichlet (left) and Neumann (right) M is Wiener measure. For Robin (left) we incorporate Gaussian noise in observation of the left end-point.
 - This can be used to verify that we sample from the distribution whose mean is the Kalman-Bucy filter/smoothener.
-

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Ergodicity and General Invariant Measures

- Under conditions on $U(x)$, equation (2) is ergodic with invariant measure $m(dx) = \exp\{-U'(x)\}M(dx)$. [**Zabcyk (1988)**].
 - This can be used to verify the sampling properties for nonlinear bridges [**Reznikoff and Vanden Eijnden 2004**], [**Hairer, Stuart, Voss and Wiberg 2004**].
 - It can also be used to verify the sampling properties for nonlinear filters by writing the measure with respect to the distribution of a Gaussian process whose mean is a Kalman-Bucy filter. See [**Hairer, Stuart, Voss and Wiberg 2004**].
-

SIMULATIONS

Bridge Path Sampling

- $f(x) = -F'(x)$
- $F(x) = \frac{(x^2-1)^2}{x^2+1}$
- $\gamma = 1, \quad T = 10^2$
- $X^- = -1, \quad X^+ = 1.$

Red is sample, green is mean (through time-averaging), blue is variance (through time-averaging).

SIMULATIONS

Nonlinear Filter/Smoothen

- $f(x) = -F'(x)$
- $F(x) = \frac{(x^2-1)^2}{x^2+1}$
- $\gamma = \sigma = 1, \quad T = 10^2$
- $X^- = -1, (a = -1, \delta = 0)$

Red is sample, blue is time average (mean), green is (unobserved) actual path.

Preconditioning

Recall equation (2):

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \mathcal{L}x + h + U'(x) + \sqrt{2}\frac{dW}{dt}.$$

The invariant measure of this equation is unchanged by introducing compact positive operator $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and considering

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{L}x + \mathcal{G}h + \mathcal{G}U'(x) + \sqrt{2\mathcal{G}}\frac{dW}{dt}. \quad (3)$$

This leads to some interesting new evolution equations. Optimizing the choice of \mathcal{G} can lead to greater efficiency when Metropolizing.

Based on finite dimensional considerations, it is natural in the context of Metropolizing to choose \mathcal{G} to be a Green's operator proportional to $-\mathcal{L}^{-1}$. We illustrate this for bridge paths.

OPTIMAL ALGORITHMS

Preconditioning for Bridge Paths

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \{-x + y\} + \sqrt{2\mathcal{G}} \frac{\partial W}{\partial t}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} = \nabla \mathcal{F}(x)$$

$$y = X^-, \quad u = 0,$$

$$y = X^+, \quad u = 100,$$

$$x = x_0, \quad t = 0.$$

- $f(x) = -F'(x)$
- $F(x) = \frac{(x^2-1)^2}{x^2+1}$
- $\gamma = 1, X^- = -1, \quad X^+ = 1.$

Red is sample, green is mean, blue is variance.

CONCLUSIONS

Future Directions

These include:

- continuing to develop a rigorous theory for the sampling properties and ergodicity of the SPDEs described here, and generalizations;
 - optimizing pre-conditioning and choice of time-step to improve efficiency in the context of Metropolizing;
 - analysis of the rate of convergence of the SPDEs derived here;
 - applications in signal processing and econometrics;
 - evaluation of methods introduced here in comparison with other recently introduced methods (Chib/Pitt/Shepherd, Roberts/Stramer, Beskos/Roberts).
-