

Subset algebra lifting

Daniel Bienstock and Mark Zuckerberg

Columbia University

Starting point

Balas, Pulleyblank, Barahona, others (pre 1990).

A polyhedron $P \subseteq R^n$ can be the **projection** of a *simpler* polyhedron $Q \subseteq R^N$ ($N > n$)

More precisely:

There exist polyhedra $P \subseteq R^n$, such that

- P has exponentially (in n) many facets, and
- P is the projection of $Q \subseteq R^N$, where
- N is polynomial in n , and Q has polynomially many facets.

→ Lovász and Schrijver (1989)

Given $\mathcal{F} = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^n : Ax \geq b\}$

Question: Given $x^* \in R_+^n$, is $x^* \in \text{conv}(\mathcal{F})$?

Idea: Let $N \gg n$.

Consider a function (a “lifting”) that maps each

$v \in \{0, 1\}^n$ into $\hat{z} = \hat{z}(v) \in \{0, 1\}^N$

with $\hat{z}_i = v_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ be the image of \mathcal{F} under this operator.

Question: Can we find $y^* \in \text{conv}(\hat{\mathcal{F}})$, such that $y_i^* = x_i^*$, $1 \leq i \leq n$?

Concrete Idea

$v \in \{0, 1\}^n$ mapped into $\hat{v} \in \{0, 1\}^{2^n}$, where

- (i) the entries of \hat{v} are indexed by subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and
- (ii) For $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\hat{v}_S = 1$ iff $v_j = 1$ for all $j \in S$.

Example: $v = (1, 1, 1, 0)^T$ mapped to:

$$\hat{v}_\emptyset = 1, \hat{v}_1 = 1, \hat{v}_2 = 1, \hat{v}_3 = 1, \hat{v}_4 = 0,$$

$$\hat{v}_{\{1,2\}} = \hat{v}_{\{1,3\}} = \hat{v}_{\{2,3\}} = 1,$$

$$\hat{v}_{\{1,4\}} = \hat{v}_{\{2,4\}} = \hat{v}_{\{3,4\}} = 0,$$

$$\hat{v}_{\{1,2,3\}} = 1, \hat{v}_{\{1,2,4\}} = \hat{v}_{\{1,3,4\}} = \hat{v}_{\{2,3,4\}} = 0,$$

$$\hat{v}_{\{1,2,3,4\}} = 0.$$

Definitions: The subset *lattice* of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is the set of subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, ordered by inclusion.

Consider the $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix Z , with a column z^p for each $p \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$z_q^p = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \subseteq p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Note:

- The lifting maps $v \in \{0, 1\}^n$ to $z^{\text{supp}(v)}$.
- Z is upper-triangular, with main diagonal = \emptyset -row = 1,
- so Z is invertible. Its inverse is called the *Möbius* matrix of the lattice.

What can we say about

$$\text{conv}\{z^p : p \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}\}?$$

or, better, $\text{cone}\{z^p : p \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}\}?$

Let $M = Z^{-1}$

If

$$y = \sum_{p \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}} \alpha_p z^p,$$

then

$$m_p y = \alpha_p$$

($m_p = p^{\text{th}}$ row of M) and therefore

***cone*($\hat{\mathcal{F}}$) is defined by:**

$$m_p y \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \hat{\mathcal{F}} \quad (\forall p \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$m_p y = 0, \quad \forall y \in \hat{\mathcal{F}} \quad (\forall p \notin \hat{\mathcal{F}})$$

→ An exact formulation, but in 2^n variables.

Take $v \in \{0, 1\}^n$. The $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix $\hat{v}\hat{v}^t$

→ Is symmetric, and its main diagonal = \emptyset -row.

Further, suppose $x^* \in R^n$ satisfies

$$x^* = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i,$$

where each $v_i \in \{0, 1\}^n$, $0 \leq \lambda_i$, and $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$.

Let $W = W(x^*) = \sum_i \lambda_i \hat{v}_i \hat{v}_i^t$ and $y = \sum_i \lambda_i \hat{v}_i$.

- $y_{\{j\}} = x_j^*$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$.
- W is symmetric, $W_{\emptyset, \emptyset} = 1$, diagonal = \emptyset -column = y .
- $W \succeq 0$.
- $\forall p, q \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $W_{p, q} = y_{p \cup q}$

So we can write $W = W^y$.

$$x^* = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \text{ and } \sum_i \lambda_i = 1.$$

$$y = \sum_i \lambda_i \hat{v}_i, \quad W^y = \sum_i \lambda_i \hat{v}_i \hat{v}_i^t, \quad \text{cont'd}$$

Assume each $v_i \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem

Suppose $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j \geq \alpha_0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let $p \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Then:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j W_{\{j\},p}^y - \alpha_0 W_{\emptyset,p}^y \geq 0$$

e.g. the p -column of W satisfies **every** constraint valid for \mathcal{F} , homogenized.

→ just show that for every i ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j [\hat{v}_i \hat{v}_i^t]_{\{j\},p} - \alpha_0 [\hat{v}_i \hat{v}_i^t]_{\emptyset,p} \geq 0$$

Also holds for the \emptyset -column minus the u^{th} -column.

Lovász-Schrijver Operator

Given $\mathcal{F} = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^n : Ax \geq b\}$

1. Form an $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ -matrix W of **variables**
2. **Constraint:** $W_{0,0} = 1$, W symmetric, $W \succeq 0$.
3. **Constraint:** $0 \leq W_{i,j} \leq W_{0,j}$, for all i, j .
4. **Constraint:** The main diagonal of W equals its 0-row.
5. **Constraint:** For every column u of W ,

$$\sum_{h=1}^n a_{i,h} u_h - b_i u_0 \geq 0, \quad \forall \text{ row } i \text{ of } A$$

and

$$\sum_{h=1}^n a_{i,h} (W_{h,0} - u_h) - b_i (1 - u_0) \geq 0, \\ \forall \text{ row } i \text{ of } A$$

Let $C = \{x \in R^n : 0 \leq x \leq 1, Ax \geq b\}$

and $N_+(C) = \text{set of } x \in R^n, \text{ such that}$

there exists W satisfying 1-5, with $W_{j,0} = x_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$.

Lemma. $C \supseteq N_+(C) \supseteq N_+^2(C) \supseteq \dots$

Theorem. $N_+^n(C) = \text{conv}(\mathcal{F})$.

$$x^* = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i, \quad 0 \leq \lambda, \text{ each } v_i \in \mathcal{F}.$$

$$y = \sum_i \lambda_i \hat{v}_i, \quad W^y = \sum_i \lambda_i \hat{v}_i \hat{v}_i^t, \quad \text{again}$$

Suppose $\alpha^T x \geq \alpha_0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$.

Then

$$V^{(\alpha, \alpha_0)} = \sum_i \gamma_i \hat{v}_i \hat{v}_i^T \succeq 0,$$

where

$$\gamma_i = \lambda_i (\alpha^T v_i - \alpha_0) \geq 0.$$

The \emptyset -column of $V^{(\alpha, \alpha_0)}$ equals $W^y \hat{\alpha}$, where

$$\hat{\alpha}_{\emptyset} = -\alpha_0$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{\{j\}} = \alpha_j \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_p = 0, \quad \text{all other } p$$

So: $V^{(\alpha, \alpha_0)} = W^z$, where $z = W^y \hat{\alpha}$.

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ x \in \{0, 1\}^n : Ax \geq b \}$$

Laurent (2001):

Sherali-Adams level- k Operator ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) (1990)

▷ For each $p \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $|p| = \min\{k + 1, n\}$:

Form $W[p]$, the minor of W induced by p and its subsets.

→ Require $W[p] \succeq 0$.

▷ For each row $a_i x \geq b_i$, and for each $q \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $|q| = k$:

Form $V[q, i]$, the minor of $V^{(a_i, b_i)}$ induced by q and its subsets.

→ Require $V[q, i] \succeq 0$.

Note: we obtain the $V[q]$ from $W[p](a_i^T, -b_i)$.

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ x \in \{0, 1\}^n : Ax \geq b \}$$

Laurent (2001):

**Lasserre level- k Operator ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$)
(2001)**

▷ Let $W\{k\}$ = minor of W induced by

$$\{p \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\} : |p| \leq \min\{k + 1, n\}\}$$

→ Require $W\{k\} \succeq 0$.

▷ For each row $a_i x \geq b_i$:

Form $V\{i\}$, the minor of $V^{(a_i, b_i)}$ induced by

$$\{p \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\} : |p| \leq k\}$$

→ Require $V\{i\} \succeq 0$.

Operator Comparison

- (a) k -iterate convexification
(Balas, Ceria, Cornuejols) C^k
- (b) k -iterate Lovász-Schrijver N_+^k, N^k
- (c) level- k Sherali-Adams S^k
- (d) level- k Lasserre L^k

S^k stronger than N^k stronger than C^k

L^k stronger than both N_+^k and S^k

Bound on max. rank = n for N_+ is tight:
Cook-Dash (2001), Laurent (2001).

Lovász-Schrijver revisited

$v \in \{0, 1\}^n$ lifted to $\hat{v} \in \{0, 1\}^{2^n}$, where

- (i) the entries of \hat{v} are indexed by subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,
and
- (ii) For $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\hat{v}_S = 1$ iff $v_j = 1$ for all $j \in S$.

→ this approach makes statements about
sets of variables that simultaneously equal 1

How about more complex logical statements?

Subset algebra lifting

For $1 \leq j \leq n$, let

$$Y_j = \{z \in \{0, 1\}^n : z_j = 1\}$$

$$N_j = \{z \in \{0, 1\}^n : z_j = 0\}$$

Let \mathcal{A} denote the set of all set-theoretic expressions involving the Y_j , the N_j , and \emptyset .

Note:

- (i) \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to the set of subsets of $\{0, 1\}^n$.
- (ii) $|\mathcal{A}| = 2^{2^n}$
- (iii) \mathcal{A} is a lattice under \supseteq
- (iv) \mathcal{A} contains an isomorphic copy of the *lattice* of subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Lift $v \in \{0, 1\}^n$ to $\check{v} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{A}}$

where for each $S \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$, $\check{v}_S = 1$ iff $v \in S$.

Example

$v = (1, 1, 1, 0, 0) \in \{0, 1\}^5$ is lifted to

$\check{v} \in \{0, 1\}^{2^{64}}$ which satisfies

$$\check{v}[(Y_1 \cap Y_2) \cup Y_5] = 1$$

$$\check{v}[Y_3 \cap Y_4] = 0$$

$$\check{v}[Y_3 \cap (Y_4 \cup N_5)] = 1$$

...

$$\check{v}[S] = 1 \text{ iff } (1, 1, 1, 0, 0) \in S$$

Note: if $v \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\check{v}[\mathcal{F}] = 1$.

→ Family of algorithms that generalize Lovász-Schrijver, Sherali-Adams, Lasserre

Generic Algorithm

1. Form a family of set-theoretic indices \mathcal{V} .

Among them, for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

Y_j , to represent $\{x \in \{0, 1\}^n : x_j = 1\}$

N_j , to represent $\{x \in \{0, 1\}^n : x_j = 0\}$

Also \emptyset , \mathcal{F} (and others).

2. Impose all constraints known to be valid for \mathcal{F} :

e.g.

$$x_1 + 4x_2 \geq 3 \text{ valid} \rightarrow X[Y_1] + 4X[Y_2] - 3 \geq 0$$

Also set theoretic constraints, e.g.

$$X[N_5] \geq X[Y_2 \cap N_5]$$

3. Form a matrix $U \in R^{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}}$ of variables

- U symmetric, main diagonal = \mathcal{F} -row = X
- For $p, q \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$U_{p,q} = X[p \cap q] \text{ if } p \cap q \in \mathcal{V}$$

$$U_{p,q} = \text{a new variable, otherwise}$$

- Each column of U satisfies all constraints,
- $U \succeq 0$ (optional)

How do we algorithmically choose small \mathcal{V} ?

Obstructions

→ An expression $\omega \in \mathcal{A}$ is an **obstruction** if

$$v \in \mathcal{F} \text{ implies } \check{v}[\omega] = 0$$

(simply means: v does not satisfy ω)

Example: given

$$x_1 + 5x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 - 2x_6 \geq 2$$

then

$$N_1 \cap N_2 \cap Y_6$$

is an obstruction

- it is *minimal*
- it is 3 – *small*
 - ◇ at most 2 or at least 4 Y_j
 - ◇ at most 2 or at least 4 N_j

→ Set covering, set partitioning, set packing:
all minimal obstructions are k -small, $k \leq 2$.

Walls

→ A wall is an intersection (conjunction) of obstructions

Example:

$$x_1 + 5x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 - 2x_6 \geq 2 \rightarrow N_1 \cap N_2 \cap Y_6$$

$$-x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 + x_6 \leq 3 \rightarrow N_2 \cap Y_3 \cap Y_4 \cap Y_6$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4 \geq 1 \rightarrow N_1 \cap N_2 \cap N_3 \cap Y_4$$

→ $\omega = N_1 \cap N_2 \cap Y_4 \cap Y_6$ is the derived **wall**

→ $Y_1 \cap Y_2 \cap Y_4 \cap Y_6$ is a **negation** of ω of **order 2**

so is $N_1 \cap N_2 \cap N_4 \cap N_6$

also:

$$\omega^{>2} = \bigcup_{t>2} \{\omega' : \omega' \text{ is a negation of order } t \text{ of } \omega\}$$

In general, the $w^{>r}$ expressions are unions (disjunctions) of exponentially many intersections.

Constraints

“Box” constraints:

$$0 \leq X, \quad X[\mathcal{F}] = 1, \quad X[p] - X[\mathcal{F}] \leq 0$$

Also, say:

$$\omega = N_1 \cap N_2 \cap Y_4 \cap Y_6.$$

Then e.g.

$$X[N_1] - X[\omega] \geq 0.$$

Also,

$$X[Y_1] + X[Y_2] + X[N_4] + X[N_6] - 2X[\omega^{>1}] \geq 0.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} X[\omega] + X[Y_1 \cap N_2 \cap Y_4 \cap Y_6] + X[N_1 \cap Y_2 \cap Y_4 \cap Y_6] + \\ + X[N_1 \cap N_2 \cap N_4 \cap Y_6] + X[N_1 \cap N_2 \cap Y_4 \cap N_6] + \\ + X[\omega^{>1}] = 1 \end{aligned}$$

→ Implications for “matrix of variables”

Critical part of algorithm - excerpt

\mathcal{V} = family of set-theoretic variables

Step 3. Form a matrix $U \in R^{\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}}$ of variables

- U symmetric, main diagonal = \mathcal{F} -row = X
- For $p, q \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$U_{p,q} = X[p \cap q] \text{ if } p \cap q \in \mathcal{V}$$

$$U_{p,q} = \text{a new variable, otherwise}$$

- Each column of U satisfies all constraints known to be valid.
-

★ Say $\omega = N_1 \cap N_2 \cap Y_4 \cap Y_6$ is a wall.

Then we impose

$$\begin{aligned} X[\omega] + X[Y_1 \cap N_2 \cap Y_4 \cap Y_6] + X[N_1 \cap Y_2 \cap Y_4 \cap Y_6] + \\ + X[N_1 \cap N_2 \cap N_4 \cap Y_6] + X[N_1 \cap N_2 \cap Y_4 \cap N_6] + \\ + X[\omega^{>1}] - X[\mathcal{F}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

→ **The columns of U satisfy this equation**

★ Say $p = N_1 \cap N_2$, $q = N_3 \cap N_4$ and $N_1 \cap N_2 \cap N_3 \cap N_4$ is an obstruction. Then

$$U_{p,q} = 0$$

can be enforced.

Set covering problems

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x \in \mathcal{F} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ x \in \{0, 1\}^n : Ax \geq 1 \}, \quad A \text{ a } 0 - 1\text{-matrix.}$$

→ All faces $\alpha^T x \geq \alpha_0$ satisfy $\alpha \geq 0$

→ can assume all coefficients are integral

Balas and Ng (1989):

all facets with $\alpha_j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

→ There exist Gomory rank-2 valid inequalities

$$\alpha^T x \geq \alpha_0$$

where some $\alpha_j = 3$.

Circulant matrices

$$\min \quad c^T x$$

$$s.t. \quad \sum_{j \neq h} x_j \geq 1, \quad \text{for each } h$$

$$x_j = 0 \text{ or } 1, \quad \text{all } j$$

$$\rightarrow \sum_j x_j \geq 2 \text{ is valid.} \quad (2)$$

Theorem

The combination of the Lovász-Schrijver N_+ operator and the Sherali-Adams operator requires at least rank $n - 2$ to guarantee (2). ■

\Rightarrow exponential work

$\mathcal{F} = \{ x \in \{0, 1\}^n : Ax \geq 1 \}$, A a 0-1 matrix.

Definition:

The **pitch** of $\alpha^T x \geq \beta$ is the smallest integer π , such that the sum of the π smallest positive α_j is at least β .

e.g. $2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3 + 4x_4 \geq 6$ has pitch 3.

Theorem

Let $k \geq 1$, and

$P_k = \{ x \in R^n : 0 \leq x \leq 1, \text{ and } x \text{ satisfies all valid inequalities with pitch } \leq k \}$.
(includes $Ax \geq 1$)

Then: there is a polyhedron

- (a) given by a formulation with polynomially many rows and columns,
- (b) whose **projection** W_k to R^n satisfies:

$$\text{conv}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq W_k \subseteq P_k. \blacksquare$$

→ P_k satisfies all inequalities with coefficients in $\{0, 1, \dots, k\}$.

→ There are examples of set-covering problems with **exponentially** many facets with all coefficients in $\{0, 1, 2\}$.

Additional Results

Cook and Dash, 2001 (Goemans and Tuncel, 2000):

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ x \in \{0, 1\}^n : x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

the N_+ -rank of

$$x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \geq 1$$

is n .

→ the subset algebra lifting algorithm proves it in polynomial-time (rank 2).

Cook, Chvátal, Hartmann (1985):

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ x \in \{0, 1\}^n : \sum_{j \in J} x_j + \sum_{j \notin J} (1 - x_j) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \forall J \right\}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$.

The Chvátal rank is n (Cornuéjols and Li, 2001: the mixed-integer rank).

→ the subset algebra lifting algorithm proves $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ in polynomial-time (rank 1).