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1. Introductions and the main theorem

Let us consider a linear time-invariant stochastic system given by the state space equations

\[
dx_t(\theta^*) = A(\theta^*)x_t(\theta^*)dt + K(\theta^*)dw_t
\]

(1.1)

\[
dy_t(\theta^*) = C(\theta^*)x_t(\theta^*)dt + dw_t
\]

(1.2)

where \( dw_t \) is a standard Gaussian white noise process in \( \mathbb{R}^m \). The process \( (y_t(\theta^*)) \) is called the output process, while \( (x_t(\theta^*)) \) is the state-vector. We need the following conditions:

**Condition 1.1** The matrices \( A(\theta^*), K(\theta^*), C(\theta^*) \) are defined for \( \theta^* \in D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p \) where \( D \) is an open domain and they are \( C^\infty \) function of \( \theta^* \). Moreover \( A(\theta^*) \) and \( A(\theta^*) - K(\theta^*)C(\theta^*) \) are stable for \( \theta^* \in D \).

The last part of the condition means that \( A(\theta^*) \) and \( A(\theta^*) - K(\theta^*)C(\theta^*) \) have all their eigenvalues in the left half-plane. It follows that \( dy_t \) is stationary process the innovation process of which \( dw_t \).

To estimate \( \theta^* \) on the basis of the observation process \( y_t \) we proceed as follows: fix a \( \theta \in D \) and invert the system (1.1), (1.2) assuming that \( \theta = \theta^* \) to get \( dw_t \). The inverse system is given by the equations:

\[
dx_t(\theta^*) = (A(\theta^*) - K(\theta^*)C(\theta^*))x_t(\theta^*)dt + K(\theta^*)dy_t(\theta^*)
\]

(1.5)

\[
dw_t = dy_t(\theta^*) - C(\theta^*)dt
\]

(1.6)

Now if \( \theta \) is chosen arbitrarily we still can use the equation above to generate a process \( dx_t \) which is an estimation of \( dw_t \). The governing equations are

\[
dx_t(\theta, \theta^*) = (A(\theta) - K(\theta)C(\theta))x_t(\theta, \theta^*)dt + K(\theta)dy_t(\theta^*).
\]

(1.7)

\[
dx_t(\theta, \theta^*) = dy_t(\theta^*) - C(\theta)x_t(\theta, \theta^*)dt.
\]

(1.8)

In practice we set zero initial state, i.e. \( x_0(\theta, \theta^*) = 0 \). However for analysis purposes we assume that \( (x_t(\theta, \theta^*)) \) is the stationary solution of (1.7) (1.8). Since the difference between
the zero-initial state and the stationary solution is known to be $O_M(e^{-\lambda t})$ with some $\lambda > 0$

it is easy to check that all the results we derive using the latter process will hold if we use
the former one.

Here we used the following convention: if $\xi_n$ is a sequence of random variables and $c_n$
is a sequence of positive numbers then we write $\xi_n = O_M(c_n)$ if $\xi_n/c_n = O_M(1)$, which in
turn means that the sequence $\xi_n/c_n$ is $M$-bounded, as defined in the Appendix.

It is well known that the negative log-likelihood function can be written as

$$V_T(\theta, \theta^*) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |C(\theta) x_t(\theta, \theta^*)|^2 dt - \int_0^T x_t^T(\theta, \theta^*) C^T(\theta) dw_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.10)

(c.f e.g. Arató (1984)), and from this we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} V_T(\theta, \theta^*) = \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \epsilon_t(\theta, \theta^*).d\epsilon_t(\theta, \theta^*)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.11)

(c.f. e.g. Gerencsér, Gyöngy, Michaletzky (1984)). Here $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ means differentiation both in
the $M$-sense and almost surely (c.f. the Appendix).

Let $D^0 \subset D$ be a compact domain such that $\theta^* \in \text{int}D^0$. Then the maximum-likelihood
estimator $\hat{\theta}_T$ of $\theta^*$ is defined as the solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} V_T(\theta, \theta^*) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.12)

if the solution is unique in $D^0$. Otherwise we define $\hat{\theta}_T$ arbitrarily subject to the condition
that $\hat{\theta}_T \in D^0$ a.s. and $\hat{\theta}_T$ must be a random variable.

Let us define the asymptotic cost function $W(\theta, \theta^*)$

$$W(\theta, \theta^*) = \frac{1}{T} EV_T(\theta, \theta^*).$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.13)

It is known and easily proved that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} W(\theta, \theta^*)|_{\theta=\theta^*} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.14)
2. The proof of the theorem

**Condition 1.2** We assume that the asymptotic log-likelihood equation (1.14) has a unique solution $\theta = \theta^*$ in $D$. Moreover we assume that $R^* = \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} W(\theta, \theta^*) \right|_{\theta = \theta^*}$ is positive definite.

This may seem a slightly restrictive condition, however without this we can not hope to get anything close to a consistent estimator. For discrete time ARMA-systems this condition was verified by Åström and Söderström (1974). For multivariate MA-processes Condition 1.2 was verified in Söderström and Stoica (1982). For both discrete and continuous-time systems a partial result was given in G. Vágó and Gerencsér (1985). To make the notations simpler we shall use $\theta$ subscript to denote derivative w.r.t. $\theta$.

The main result of the paper is that the analysis of $\hat{\theta}_T - \theta^*$ can be reduced to that of a stochastic integral as described by the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** Under Conditions 1.1.-1.2. we have

$$
\hat{\theta}_T - \theta^* = -(R^*^{-1})^{1/2} \int_0^T \epsilon_{\theta t}(\theta^*, \theta^*) d\omega_t + O_M(T^{-1/2}).
$$

This theorem is an extension of an earlier result of Gerencsér(1989b), and has many interesting corollaries. E.g. using this theorem and another result on the strong approximation of multidimensional integrals we derived the following result in Gerencsér(1989c):

**Theorem A.** Assume that the underlying probability space is sufficiently rich. Then under Conditions 1.1.-1.2. we have for every $\epsilon > 0$

$$
\hat{\theta}_T - \theta^* = \frac{1}{T}(R^*)^{1/2} \bar{w}_T + O_M(T^{-3/5+\epsilon})
$$

where $(\bar{w}_T)$ is a standard Wiener-process in $\mathbb{R}^p$.

2. The proof of the theorem

A basic tool in the analysis of the maximum-likelihood estimator is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** We have

$$
\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{D}_0} \left| \frac{1}{T} V_T(\theta, \theta^*) - W(\theta, \theta^*) \right| = O_M(T^{-\frac{1}{2}})
$$

(2.1)
and similar estimates hold for all derivatives of $V_T(\theta, \theta^*)$.

**Proof:** In the proofs we shall use the properties of $L$-mixing processes, derived in Gerencsér(1989a).

We shall summarize some of the more important properties in the Appendix.

Since $y_t(\theta^*)$ is the output of a stable linear state-space system, it is $L$-mixing w.r.t. $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathcal{F}_t^+)$ by Theorem 3.2 where

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{w_s : s \leq t\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_t^+ = \sigma\{w_s - w_{s'} : s, s' > t\}.$$

I.e. the $\sigma$-algebras $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathcal{F}_t^+)$ represent the past and the future of the Gaussian white noise process $dw_t$, respectively. Also since the system-matrices are smooth in $\theta^*$ it follows that all derivatives of $y_t(\theta^*)$ w.r.t. $\theta^*$ are $L$-mixing. Furthermore it is easy to see that $y_t(\theta^*)$ and its derivatives are uniformly $L$-mixing w.r.t. $\theta^*$ when $\theta^* \in D$. Similarly $x_t(\theta, \theta^*)$ and its derivatives w.r.t. $\theta$ and $\theta^*$ are uniformly $L$-mixing. Let us now introduce the notation

$$\delta V_T(\theta, \theta^*) = \frac{1}{T} V_T(\theta, \theta^*) - W(\theta, \theta^*)$$

which can also be written as

$$\delta V_T(\theta, \theta^*) = \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^T \left( |C(\theta)x_t(\theta, \theta^*)|^2 - E|C(\theta)x_t(\theta, \theta^*)|^2 \right) dt - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T x_t^T(\theta, \theta^*)C^T(\theta)dw_t$$

$$\Delta \frac{1}{2T} \int_0^T u_t(\theta, \theta^*)dt - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T v_t(\theta, \theta^*)dw_t.$$

Here $u_t(\theta, \theta^*), v_t(\theta, \theta^*)$ are $L$-mixing processes uniformly in $\theta, \theta^*$, and the same holds true for all their derivatives w.r.t. $\theta$ and $\theta^*$. Therefore we can apply Theorems 3.1.-3.3. to get that

$$\sup_{\theta \in D^o} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T u_t(\theta, \theta^*)dt = O_M(1), \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\theta \in D^o} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T v_t(\theta, \theta^*)dw_t = O_M(1)$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{\theta \in D^o} T^{1/2} \delta V_T(\theta, \theta^*) = O_M(1).$$
2. The proof of the theorem

For the derivatives of $\delta V_T(\theta, \theta^*)$ we can use similar arguments, thus the proof of Theorem 2.1. is complete.

**Lemma 2.2.** For any $d > 0$ the equation $V_{\theta T}(\theta, \theta^*) = 0$ has a unique solution in $\{\theta : |\theta - \theta^*| \leq d\}$ with probability at least $1 - O(T^{-s})$ for any $s > 0$.

**Proof:** We shall apply a simple analytic lemma which we present in the Appendix (Lemma 3.4). Choose

$$G(\theta) = W_\theta(\theta, \theta^*),$$

$$\delta G(\theta) = \delta V_\theta(\theta, \theta^*) \triangleq \frac{1}{T} V_\theta(\theta, \theta^*) - W_\theta(\theta, \theta^*),$$

and let us consider the set $A_T$ defined by

$$A_T = \{\omega : \sup_{\theta \in D^o} |\delta V_\theta(\theta, \theta^*)| < d' \text{ and } \sup_{\theta \in D^o} |\delta V_{\theta_0}(\theta, \theta^*)| < d''\}$$

where $d', d''$ are sufficiently small positive numbers. Then by Lemma 3.4. equation (1.12) has a unique solution $\widehat{\theta}_T$ in $D^o$ and $|\widehat{\theta}_T - \theta^*| \leq d$, where $d$ is any fixed positive number. To estimate the probability of the event $A_T$ we can apply Theorem 2.1., from which we get using Chebishev's inequality that $P(A_T^c) = O(T^{-s})$ for any $s > 0$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let us now consider equation (1.12) and write it as

$$0 = V_{\theta T}(\widehat{\theta}_T, \theta^*) = V_{\theta T}^*(\theta^*, \theta^*) + V_{\theta T}^*(\widehat{\theta}_T - \theta^*) \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\widehat{V}_{\theta T} = \int_0^1 \left[ V_{\theta T}^*((1 - \lambda)\theta^* + \lambda \widehat{\theta}_T, \theta^*) \right] d\lambda$$

After a simple rearrangement we get from (2.2):

$$R^*(\widehat{\theta}_T - \theta^*) = -\frac{1}{T} V_{\theta T}(\theta^*, \theta^*) + (R^* - \frac{1}{T} \widehat{V}_{\theta T})(\widehat{\theta}_T - \theta^*). \quad (2.3)$$

Thus it is enough to prove that the second term on the right hand side is $O_M(T^{-1})$.

**Lemma 2.3.** We have

$$\widehat{\theta}_T - \theta^* = O_M(T^{-1/2}). \quad (2.4)$$
2. The proof of the theorem

Proof: Let us investigate $\overline{W}_{0\theta T}$. Define

$$\overline{W}_{0\theta T} = \int_0^1 W_{0\theta T}((1 - \lambda)\theta^* + \lambda \overline{\theta}_T, \theta^*) d\lambda. \quad (2.5)$$

We show that $\overline{W}_{0\theta T} > c'$ with some positive $c'$ on $A_T$ if $d$ is sufficiently small. Indeed since $W$ is smooth we have for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

$$\|W_{0\theta}((1 - \lambda)\theta^* + \lambda \overline{\theta}_T, \theta^*) - W_{0\theta}(\theta^*, \theta^*)\| \leq |\overline{\theta}_T - \theta^*| < Cd. \quad (2.6)$$

where $C$ is a system constant. Hence if $d$ is sufficiently small then the positive definiteness of $W_{0\theta}(\theta^*, \theta^*)$ and (2.4) imply that $\overline{W}_{0\theta T} > c' I$ with some positive $c'$. Since we have on $A_T$

$$\|\frac{1}{T} \overline{W}_{0\theta T} - \overline{W}_{0\theta T}\| < d''$$

it follows that if $d''$ is sufficiently small then

$$\lambda_{\text{min}}(\frac{1}{T} \overline{W}_{0\theta T}) > c > 0 \quad (2.7)$$

on $A_T$ where in general $\lambda_{\text{min}}(B)$ denotes the minimal eigenvalue of the matrix $B$. Then by (2.2) we get

$$\chi_{A_T}|\overline{\theta}_T - \theta^*| \leq c^{-1} T^{-1} \|V_{0T}(\theta^*, \theta^*)\|. \quad (2.8)$$

It is easy to see that $V_{0T}(\theta^*, \theta^*) = O_M(T^{-1/2})$. Indeed we have $d \epsilon_t (\theta^*, \theta^*) = dw_t + O_M(e^{-\lambda t}) dt$ where the second term is due to nonstationary initial conditions, the contribution of which to $V_{0T}(\theta^*, \theta^*)$ is obviously $O_M(1)$. On the other hand we have for any $q \geq 1$ that

$$E^{1/2q} \left| \int_0^T \epsilon_{0t}(\theta^*, \theta^*) dw_t \right|^{2q} \leq C_q E^{1/2q} \left( \int_0^T \epsilon_{0t}(\theta^*, \theta^*) dt \right)^q. \quad (2.9)$$

(c.f. Krylov (1977)). Using Hölder’s inequality we can continue (2.9) as

$$\leq C_q \left( \int_0^T E^{1/q} |\epsilon_{0t}(\theta^*, \theta^*)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = O(T^{1/2}).$$
Substituting into (2.8) we get

\[ \chi_{A_T^c}(\cdot_T - \theta^*) = O_M(T^{-1/2}). \]  

(2.10)

Combining this inequality with the previous inequality \( P(A_T^c) = O(T^{-s}) \) where \( A_T^c \) denotes the complement of \( A_T \) and using the fact that \(|\cdot_T - \theta^*|\) is bounded we get for any \( s > 0 \) that

\[ \chi_{A_T^c}(\cdot_T - \theta^*) = O_M(T^{-s}). \]  

(2.11)

Adding this equality to (2.10) we get the lemma.

Now we can complete the analysis of (2.5) as follows: First we can write

\[ \|W_{\theta\theta}(\theta^*, \theta^*) - \frac{1}{T} \overline{V}_{\theta\theta T}\| \leq \|W_{\theta\theta}(\theta^*, \theta^*) - \overline{V}_{\theta\theta T}\| + \|\overline{V}_{\theta\theta T} - \frac{1}{T} \overline{V}_{\theta\theta T}\|. \]  

(2.12)

Using the previous lemma we get

\[ \|W_{\theta\theta}(\theta^*, \theta^*) - \overline{V}_{\theta\theta T}\| \leq \int_0^1 \|W_{\theta\theta}(\theta^*, \theta^*) - W_{\theta\theta}((1 - \lambda)\theta^* + \lambda \cdot_T, \theta^*)\| d\lambda \leq \]

\[ \leq C \int_0^1 |(1 - \lambda)\theta^* + \lambda \cdot_T - \theta^*| d\lambda \leq C|\cdot_T - \theta^*| = O_M(T^{-1/2}). \]  

(2.13)

On the other hand we have by Theorem 2.1.

\[ \|\overline{V}_{\theta\theta T} - \frac{1}{T} \overline{V}_{\theta\theta T}\| \leq \int_0^1 W_{\theta\theta}((1 - \lambda)\theta^* + \lambda \cdot_T, \theta^*) - \frac{1}{T} V_{\theta\theta}((1 - \lambda)\theta^* + \lambda \cdot_T, \theta^*)\| d\lambda \leq \]

\[ \leq \sup_{\theta \in D^0} \|W_{\theta\theta}(\theta, \theta^*) - \frac{1}{T} V_{\theta\theta}(\theta, \theta^*)\| = O_M(T^{-1/2}). \]  

(2.14)

Summarizing (2.13) and (2.14) we get that

\[ (W_{\theta\theta}(\theta^*, \theta^*) - \frac{1}{T} \overline{V}_{\theta\theta T}) = O_M(T^{-1}). \]

Together with (2.4) it yields that

\[ R^*(\cdot_T - \theta^*) = -\frac{1}{T} V_{\theta T}(\theta^*, \theta^*) + O_M(T^{-1}). \]
3. Appendix

In this section we summarize a few definitions and theorems we need for this paper. A detailed exposition is given in Gerencsér (1989a).

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be a compact domain and let the stochastic process $(x_t(\theta))$ be defined on the parameter set $\mathbb{R}^+ \times D$.

Let $(\mathcal{F}_s), s \geq 0$ be a family of monotone increasing $\sigma$-algebras, and $(\mathcal{F}_s^+) s \geq 0$ be a monotone decreasing family of $\sigma$-algebras. We assume that $(\mathcal{F}_s^+)$ is continuous from the right, i.e. $\mathcal{F}_s^+ = \sigma\{\cup_{0 < \epsilon} \mathcal{F}_{s+\epsilon}\}$. Furthermore assume that for all $s \geq 0, \mathcal{F}_s$ and $\mathcal{F}_s^+$ are independent. For $s < 0 \mathcal{F}_s^+ = \mathcal{F}_0^+$. A typical example is provided by the $\sigma$-algebras

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma\{w_t : t \leq s\} \quad \mathcal{F}_s^+ = \sigma\{w_t - w_{t'} : t, t' > s\}$$

where $(w_t, t \geq 0)$ is a standard Wiener-process.

**Definition 3.1.** A stochastic process $(x_t), t \geq 0$ is $L$-mixing with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathcal{F}_t^+)$ if it is $\mathcal{F}_t$-progressively measurable, $M$-bounded and with any $q$ such that $1 \leq q < \infty$ and with

$$\gamma_q(\tau, x) = \gamma_q(\tau) = \sup_{t \geq \tau} \mathbb{E}^{1/q}[|x_t - \mathbb{E}(x_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-\tau})|^q] \quad \tau \geq 0$$

we have

$$\Gamma_q = \Gamma_q(x) = \int_0^\infty \gamma_q(\tau) d\tau < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

It can be shown that $\gamma_q(\tau)$ is measurable and thus the integral (3.1) makes sense.

**Example 1.** The process $(x_t)$ given by

$$dx_t = Ax_t dt + Bdw_t \quad x_0 = 0$$

where $w_t$ is an $m$-dimensional Wiener-process, $A$ is $n \times n$, $B$ is $n \times m$ matrix and $A$ is stable is $L$-mixing with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathcal{F}_t^+)$ given in (3.1).
The above definition extends to discrete-time processes in a natural way.

An important property of $L$-mixing processes is that if $(x_t), (y_t)$ are $L$-mixing then $(z_t)$ with $z_t = x_t y_t$ is also $L$-mixing. This is seen by direct calculations.

**Theorem 3.1** Let $u_t(\theta)$ be a parameter dependent stochastic process such that itself and the process $\Delta u/\Delta^\alpha \theta$ are both $L$-mixing and $E u_t(\theta) = 0$ for all $\theta \in D$. Let

$$x_t^* = \sup_{\theta \in Do} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t u_s(\theta) ds.$$  

Then the process $x_t^*$ is $M$-bounded, and we have for all $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $s > p$

$$M_{2q}(x^*) \leq C(M_{2qs}(u) + M_{2qs}(\Delta u/\Delta^\alpha \theta))(\Gamma_{2qs}(u) + \Gamma_{2qs}(\Delta u/\Delta^\alpha \theta))$$

where $C$ depends only on $p, q, s, D$ and $Do$.

Let us consider a linear filter described by

$$x_t = \int_0^t \phi(t - s) u_s ds$$

where $\phi(\tau)$ is locally integrable.

We say that the filter is stable if

$$\Phi^* = \int_0^\infty \phi(\tau) d\tau < \infty.$$ 

The definition extends to vector valued processes in an obvious way.

**Theorem 3.2** Let us consider a stable linear filter described above. Assume that

$$\Phi^{**} = \int_0^\infty \tau \phi(\tau) d\tau < \infty.$$ 

Then if the input process $u_t$ is $L$-mixing then the output process $x_t$ is also $L$-mixing.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $u_t(\theta)$ be a progressively measurable $\mathbb{R}^p$- valued stochastic process which satisfies the conditions of the conditions of Theorem 3.1 and let

$$x_t(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t u_s(\theta) dw_s$$
and take a separable version of $x_t(\theta)$. Then

$$x_t^* = \sup_{\theta \in D^o} |x_t(\theta)|$$

is $M$-bounded, and we have for $q \geq 1, s > p/\alpha$

$$M_{2q}(x^*) \leq C(M_{2qs}(u) + M_{2qs}(\Delta u / \Delta^\alpha \theta))$$

where $C$ depends only on $p, q, s, D$ and $D^o$.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $G(\theta)$ and $\delta G(\theta)$ be $\mathbb{R}^p$-valued continuously differentiable functions, $\theta \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^p$. Let for some $\theta^* \in D^o \ G(\theta^*) = 0$, where $D^o$ is a compact subset of $D$. Assume that $G_{\theta}(\theta^*)$ is nonsingular. Then for any $d > 0$ there exist $d', d''$ positive numbers such that if

$$|\delta G(\theta)| < d' \quad \text{and} \quad |G_{\theta}(\theta)| < d''$$

for all $\theta \in D^0$ then

$$G(\theta) + \delta G(\theta) = 0$$

has a unique solution $\hat{\theta} \in D^o$, moreover $|\theta^* - \hat{\theta}| < d$.
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