

# Numerical Methods for Interfaces in Viscous Fluid Flow and Regularizing Effects in Parabolic Difference Equations

J. Thomas Beale

Duke University

`www.math.duke.edu/faculty/beale`

- (1) (with Anita Layton) A second-order accurate method for a moving elastic interface with zero thickness in viscous fluid, original problem for Peskin's immersed boundary method
- (2) Estimates in maximum norm for finite difference versions of  $u_t = \Delta u + f$  and spatial differences bounded in  $L^\infty$  by  $\|f\|_{L^\infty}$

Estimates in (2) relate to accuracy in (1)

## Prototype Problem, Interface in Viscous Fluid

Navier-Stokes equations


interface  $\Gamma$  :  $\mathbf{X}(\alpha, t)$

$\alpha$  = material coordinate

$s$  = arclength at current time

restoring force on  $\Gamma$  acts on fluid

periodic b.c.'s on box



$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} &= -\nabla p + \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f} \delta_{\Gamma}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\tau} = \text{unit tangent}, \\ \mathbf{f}(s, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (T(s, t) \boldsymbol{\tau}(s, t)), \\ T(s, t) &= T_0 \left( \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \alpha} \right| - 1 \right)^+.\end{aligned}$$

interfacial force amounts to jumps in  $\nabla \mathbf{u}$  and  $p$ :

Jump conditions at the interface  $\Gamma$ :

$$[p] = \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \quad \left[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}),$$
$$[\mathbf{u}] = 0, \quad \mu \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right] = -(\mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}) \boldsymbol{\tau}.$$

Peskin's Immersed Boundary Method:

designed for this problem and generalizations

robust, many applications in biology

force on boundary replaced by smooth delta functions

force is spread to grid points

many improvements for accuracy and stability...

first order for sharp interface (zero thickness)

second order for layer (positive thickness)

C. Peskin, B. Griffith, Y. Mori

The jump conditions suggest use of the immersed interface method of R. LeVeque and Z. Li or the related method of A. Mayo or boundary integrals, layer potentials

These methods work well for Stokes flow:

$$-\mu\Delta\mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mathbf{f}\delta_\Gamma, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

The jump conditions are **the same** as for Navier-Stokes:

$$[\rho] = \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \quad \left[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}),$$
$$[\mathbf{u}] = 0, \quad \mu \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right] = -(\mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}) \boldsymbol{\tau}.$$

## Velocity Decomposition (with A. Layton)

Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f} \delta_{\Gamma}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

Stokes equations:

$$0 = -\nabla p_s + \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_s + \mathbf{f} \delta_{\Gamma}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_s = 0$$

Set  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_s + \mathbf{u}_r$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_s$  = “Stokes” part,  $\mathbf{u}_r$  = “regular” part

Jump conditions are the same for  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_s$

velocity continuous  $\rightarrow$  material deriv continuous

The “regular” part  $\mathbf{u}_r$  has zero jumps (to order considered)

## Decomposition Equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f} \delta_{\Gamma}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$0 = -\nabla p_s + \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_s + \mathbf{f} \delta_{\Gamma}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_s = 0$$

Substitute  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_s + \mathbf{u}_r$ ,  $p = p_s + p_r$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_r}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_r = -\nabla p_r + \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_r + \mathbf{F}_b, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_r = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{F}_b = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_s}{\partial t} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_s$$

To solve for  $\mathbf{u}$  at each time,

- (1) Solve the Stokes equations for  $\mathbf{u}_s$  using the immersed interface method or boundary integrals;
- (2) Solve for the regular velocity  $\mathbf{u}_r$  using the semi-Lagrangian method with the second-order BDF

# Immersed Interface Method, R. LeVeque, Z. Li; A. Mayo

Poisson problem with interface:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u_- &= F_- & \text{in } \Omega_-, & \quad \Delta u_+ = F_+ & \text{in } \Omega_+, \\ [u] &= g_0 & \text{on } \Gamma, & \quad [\partial_n u] = g_1 & \text{on } \Gamma\end{aligned}$$

Solve

$$\Delta^h u^h = F^h + C^h$$

$C^h = 0$  at regular grid points; truncation error  $O(h^2)$

$$\text{truncation error} = \Delta^h u^{\text{exact}} - F^{\text{exact}}$$

$C^h \neq 0$  at irregular points, stencil crosses  $\Gamma$

$$C^h \text{ found from jumps } u, Du, D^2u$$

determined by  $g_0, g_1, F_{\pm}$ ; truncation error  $O(h)$ , not  $O(h^2)$

Error  $u^h - u = O(h^2)$  uniformly!  $\nabla_h u$  almost as good!

Z. Li & K. Ito, The IIM..., SIAM, 2006

JTB and A. Layton, CAMCoS ('06), analysis and applications

# Immersed Interface Method applied to Elastic Interface

R. LeVeque & Z. Li ('97) Stokes flow; three Poisson problems

$$-\mu\Delta\mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mathbf{f}\delta_\Gamma, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

Navier-Stokes with elastic interface:

Z. Li & M.-C. Lai ('01)

L. Lee & R. LeVeque ('03)

S. Xu & Z. J. Wang ('06)

D. Le, B. Khoo & J. Peraire ('06)

Z. Tan, D. Le, Z. Li, K. Lim, B. Khoo ('08)

For NSE, the IIM can be second-order accurate in space & time  
but many correction terms for jumps are needed

## Regular Velocity

$$\frac{D\mathbf{u}_r}{Dt} + \nabla p_r - \mu\Delta\mathbf{u}_r = \mathbf{F}_b \equiv -\frac{D\mathbf{u}_s}{Dt},$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \frac{D}{Dt} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla$$

$\mathbf{u}$  continuous at  $\Gamma \implies D\mathbf{u}/Dt$  continuous at  $\Gamma$ ; same  $\mathbf{u}_s$

$\mathbf{u}_r, D\mathbf{u}_r, D^2\mathbf{u}_r$  are continuous at  $\Gamma$

e.g., Z. Li & M.-C. Lai ('01)

however,  $\nabla\mathbf{u}, \nabla\mathbf{u}_s, \partial\mathbf{u}_s/\partial t$  have jumps

To solve for  $\mathbf{u}_r$  on a grid,

we don't want to do something special at  $\Gamma$ ;

it's better not to discretize the transport term;

we'd like to use a large time step;

we'd like to smooth high modes

(related to accuracy in the immersed interface method)

## Semi-Lagrangian (CIR) Method

find values at new time  $t_{n+1}$  at grid points

material derivatives from backward characteristics

$$dx/dt = \mathbf{u}(x, t), \quad x(t_{n+1}) = x^{n+1}$$

interpolate old value at “departure point”  $x^n = x(t_n)$


error like  $\Delta t^m + \Delta x^p / \Delta t$

Xiu & Karniadakis ('01); Biros, Ying & Zorin

$$\frac{D\mathbf{u}_r}{Dt} + \nabla p_r - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_r + \mathbf{F}_b,$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_r = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{F}_b = -\frac{D\mathbf{u}_s}{Dt}$$



## Computing the Regular Velocity

$$\frac{D\mathbf{u}_r}{Dt} + \nabla p_r - \mu\Delta\mathbf{u}_r = -\frac{D\mathbf{u}_s}{Dt}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_r = 0$$

Second-order backward difference formula:

$$\frac{3\mathbf{u}_r^* - 4\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_r^n + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_r^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} + \nabla p_r^n - \mu\Delta\mathbf{u}_r^* = \frac{3\mathbf{u}_s^{n+1} - 4\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_s^n + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_s^{n-1}}{2\Delta t}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_r^{n+1} = \mathbb{P}\mathbf{u}_r^*,$$


$\mathbb{P}$  = approximate projection on div-free vectors  
uses centered difference operators  
amounts to pressure Poisson equation


Is this good enough for second-order accuracy?

$O(h^2)$  accuracy expected from immersed interface method  
despite  $O(h)$  truncation error at interface

Analysis partially justifies this...

## Example 1. Relaxing or Oscillating Ellipse





$u$  = the  $x$ -component of fluid velocity at  $x = 0.3$  and  $t = 1.2$   
 $u = u_s + u_r$  = Stokes part plus regular part;  $\mu = .1$   
 $u$  and  $u_s$  have discontinuous normal derivative,  
 whereas  $u_r$  does not

## Example 1. Convergence Test

initial semiaxes .7 and .5, unstretched radius .5,  $T_0 = .2$

Errors in Area and Velocity, Grid Points and Interface

$N$	Area	$L^2(\text{grid})$	$L^\infty(\text{grid})$	$L^2(\Gamma)$	$L^\infty(\Gamma)$
$\mu = 0.1$					
80	5.291E-4	8.319E-4	1.036E-3	1.032E-3	1.176E-3
160	1.773E-4	3.026E-4	3.599E-4	2.366E-4	4.180E-4
320	5.299E-5	8.007E-5	1.160E-4	6.969E-5	1.237E-4
640	1.286E-5	1.300E-5	2.561E-5	1.462E-5	2.425E-5
$\mu = 0.01$					
80	2.057E-3	3.734E-3	6.850E-3	1.995E-3	4.903E-3
160	6.903E-4	1.311E-3	2.822E-3	6.395E-4	1.560E-3
320	1.662E-4	3.156E-4	6.600E-4	1.674E-4	4.123E-4
640	4.053E-5	8.659E-5	1.548E-4	6.081E-5	1.025E-4

Error in area is compared to the exact value.

Errors in velocity are compared to  $N = 1280$ .

## Stiff Boundary Force

Large tension coeff't  $T_0$  requires small time step if explicit  
Fractional time stepping is natural here  
with small time steps for Stokes part

Decomposition  $u = u_s + u_r$  puts interfacial force in Stokes part  
To move  $\Gamma$  with Stokes, velocity is needed only on  $\Gamma$   
Stokes velocity on  $\Gamma$  in 2D free space  
is easily written as a boundary integral

$$\mathbf{u}_s^{free} = \int_{\Gamma} V(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) ds(\mathbf{y}).$$

$$V_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\delta_{ij}}{4\pi} \log |\mathbf{x}| + \frac{\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j}{4\pi |\mathbf{x}|^2}$$

Need corrections for periodic b.c. and regular part

# Fractional Time Steps

## On small time steps

Compute free-space Stokes velocity on  $\Gamma$

Correct for b.c. (extrapolate in time, interpolate in space)

Add regular velocity (extrap. in time, interp. in space)

Advance  $\Gamma$  using corrected velocity

Update interfacial force

## On large time step

Solve Stokes eq'ns for  $\mathbf{u}_s, p_s$  with periodic b.c.'s using IIM


Solve Stokes eq'ns in free space using IIM

Subtract two Stokes sol'ns for use with small steps

Find regular velocity  $\mathbf{u}_r$  using semi-Lagrangian method

Add to get velocity  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_s + \mathbf{u}_r$ .

## Example 2



Tension coefficient  $T_0 = 10$   
viscosity  $\mu = .1$

Case 1: Time step  $= h$ .  
Unstable.

Case 2: Time step  $= h/10$ .  
Stable.

Case 3: Small step  $= h/10$ ,  
Big step  $= h$ .  
Stable, with similar accuracy

## To Do

For stiff boundary force or more general forces,  
we need implicit boundary motion.

Use approx'n to important part of boundary update ?

“Removing the stiffness”, Hou, Lowengrub, Shelley ('94)  
Kropinski ('01); Hou & Shi ('08)

More general boundary motion, esp. for 3D

JTB & Strain ('08)

J. Wilson, Ph.D. student

# Maximum Norm Estimates for Parabolic Difference Equations with Gain in Regularity

for  $u_t = \Delta u$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T]$

discretize on square grid, spacing  $h$ , time step  $k$

use  $\Delta_h$ , usual second-order Laplacian,  $\Delta_h = \sum_{\nu=1}^d D_\nu^+ D_\nu^-$

use implicit time stepping,  $k = O(h)$

for single step,  $u^n = s(k\Delta_h)^n u^0$

Backward Euler:

$$u^{n+1} - u^n = k\Delta_h u^{n+1}, \quad s(k\Delta_h) = (1 - k\Delta_h)^{-1}$$

Crank-Nicolson:

$$\begin{aligned} u^{n+1} - u^n &= (k/2)(\Delta_h u^{n+1} + \Delta_h u^n), \\ s(k\Delta_h) &= (1 + k\Delta_h/2)(1 - k\Delta_h/2)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

best results for a second-order L-stable method (not CN)  
including BDF2, second-order backward difference formula

for a single-step method,  $u^n = s(k\Delta_h)^n u^0$

assume the method is L-stable, i.e.

$$|s(z)| \leq 1 \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0 \text{ (A-stable)}$$

$$s(z) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty$$

Examples: Twizell, Gume, Arigu (TGA, an improvement of CN);  
one SDIRK2 (Runge-Kutta); BDF2 (but multi-step)  
(CN does not have  $s(\infty) = 0$ .)

**Main Result.** For L-stable case, with operator norm on  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_h^d)$ ,

$$\|s^n(k\Delta_h)\| \leq C_0$$

$$\|D_h s^n(k\Delta_h)\| \leq C_1 (nk)^{-1/2}$$

$$\|D_h^2 s^n(k\Delta_h)\| \leq C_2 (nk)^{-1} (1 + |\log h| + |\log nk|)$$

for  $nk \leq T$ , with constants independent of  $h$  and  $k$ .

For CN,  $s^n$  is bounded, but not differences.

Related work: Aronson ('63), Widlund ('66) for  $k = O(h^2)$ ;

Ashyralyev & Sobolevskii; Thomée et al. for finite elements

## Error Estimates for Parabolic Equations

Apply Main Result to a nonhomogeneous equation:

$$u_t = \Delta u + f, \quad u(\cdot, 0) = 0$$

with a method as above. E.g. for CN,

$$u^{n+1} = s(k\Delta_h)u^n + k(1 - \Delta_h/2)^{-1}f^{n+1/2}$$

For an L-stable method,  $u$ ,  $D_h u$ ,  $D_h^2 u$  are bounded in max norm:

$$\|u^n\| + \|D_h u^n\| \leq C_1 \sup_{t \leq T} \|f(\cdot, t)\|$$

$$\|D_h^2 u^n\| \leq C_2 (1 + |\log h|^2) \sup_{t \leq T} \|f(\cdot, t)\|$$

For CN, first is true, second is not.

Interpretation:  $u^{\text{computed}} - u^{\text{exact}}$  and differences  
are bounded by maximum truncation error.

## Application to interfaces

**Lemma.** Suppose a grid function  $f$  is supported near an interface. Then  $f = F_0 + \sum_{\nu=1}^d D_{\nu}^{-} F_{\nu}$ , with  $\|F_{\nu}\| \leq Ch\|f\|$ .

Suppose we solve  $u_t = \Delta u$  in a periodic box  
with (known) jumps at a (known) interface.

Suppose the truncation error is

$O(h)$  near interface,  $O(h^2)$  away.

The error equation ( $e = u^{\text{computed}} - u^{\text{exact}}$ ) looks like

$$e_t = \Delta e + DF + O(h^2), \quad F = O(h^2)$$

Using the Main Result and Lemma, we get

For CN,  $e = O(h^2)$  uniformly.

For L-stable methods,  $e = O(h^2)$ ,

and  $D_h e = O(h^2 |\log h|^2)$  uniformly.

applies to linear convection-diffusion; full problem is harder

## Proof of the Main Result

$$\begin{aligned}\|s^n(k\Delta_h)\| &\leq C_0 \\ \|D_h s^n(k\Delta_h)\| &\leq C_1(nk)^{-1/2} \\ \|D_h^2 s^n(k\Delta_h)\| &\leq C_2(nk)^{-1}(1 + |\log h| + |\log nk|)\end{aligned}$$

Use the point of view of analytic semigroups, as in  
Thomée, Galerkin FEM for Parabolic Problems  
Ashyralyev & Sobolevskii, ...Parabolic Difference Eq'ns

**Step 1.** Estimate  $D_h^m e^{\Delta_h t}$  on  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_h^d)$

Use  $g_t = \Delta_h g$ ,  $g(jh, 0) = \delta_{j0}$

Estimate  $D_h^m g$  in discrete  $L^1$  in Fourier transform (F. John, '52)

Show  $\|D_h^m e^{\Delta_h t}\| \leq C_m |t|^{-m/2}$  for **complex**  $t$ ,

$t$  in a sector in the right half plane,

$$\{t = t_1 + it_2 : t_1 > 0, |t_2| \leq Mt_1\}$$

## Proof of the Main Result

**Step 2.** Estimate the resolvent of  $\Delta_h$ :

$$(z - \Delta_h)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} e^{\Delta_h t} dt$$

Moving the ray in the  $t$ -sector, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|(z - \Delta_h)^{-1}\| &\leq C_0 |z|^{-1}, \\ \|D_h(z - \Delta_h)^{-1}\| &\leq C_1 |z|^{-1/2}, \\ \|D_h^2(z - \Delta_h)^{-1}\| &\leq C_2(1 + |\log |z|| + |\log h|)\end{aligned}$$

for  $z$  outside a sector about  $z < 0$

For periodic functions, mean value zero,

$$\|(\Delta_h)^{-1}\| \leq C_0, \|D_h(\Delta_h)^{-1}\| \leq C_1, \|D_h^2(\Delta_h)^{-1}\| \leq C_2(1 + |\log h|)$$

## Proof of the Main Result

**Step 3.** Estimate  $s(k\Delta_h)^n$  and differences:


$$D_h^\alpha s(k\Delta_h)^n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma s(z)^n D_h^\alpha (z - k\Delta_h)^{-1} dz$$

use contour  $\Gamma$ , radius  $O(1/n)$

use resolvent estimates

from Step 2

use assumptions on  $s$ :



$s(z)$  analytic in a sector about  $z < 0$ ,

$s(z) = 1 + z + O(z^2)$  as  $z \rightarrow 0$ ,

$|s(z)| \leq (1 + c_1|z|)^{-1}$ ,  $z$  in sector about  $z < 0$

(from consistency and L-stability)

## More General Parabolic Operators?

Michael Pruitt, Ph.D. thesis

Step 1 has to be completely different,

Estimate  $\exp(A_h t)$ ,  $A_h$  an elliptic operator

For variable coefficients, use a discrete parametrix...

## References

preprints at [www.math.duke.edu/faculty/beale](http://www.math.duke.edu/faculty/beale)

J.T.B. and A. Layton, A velocity decomposition approach for moving interfaces in viscous fluids, J. Comput. Phys. '09

J.T.B., Smoothing properties of implicit finite difference methods for a diffusion equation in maximum norm, SINUM '09

J.T.B. and A. Layton, On the accuracy of finite difference methods for elliptic problems with interfaces, CAMCoS '06

J.T.B. and J. Strain, Locally corrected semi-Lagrangian methods for Stokes flow with moving elastic interfaces, JCP '08