

# Weak field control employing the stochastic surrogate Hamiltonian

Gil Katz,<sup>1,\*</sup> David Gelman,<sup>1,†</sup> Mark A. Ratner,<sup>2,‡</sup> and Ronnie Kosloff<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Fritz Haber Research Center for Molecular Dynamics,  
Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University  
Evanston, Illinois 60208-3113*

Simulation of many body quantum dynamics scales exponentially bad with the number of degrees of freedom. Many methods are devoted to obtain a restricted many body wavefunction which still are able to approximate the quantum dynamics. In the context of system bath dynamics the surrogate Hamiltonian method the dynamics is simplified by replacing the bath Hamiltonian by a simpler version which describes the bath faithfully up to a specified time. The computation task becomes even more formidable when the dynamics takes place at a finite temperature, then formally the wavefunction has to be replaced with a density operator. We present a stochastic methods which allows to describe finite temperature dynamics within a wavefunction description. The stochastic methods are applied for the initial thermal sampling. In addition the dynamical description of the bath is extended stochastically to take care of dephasing and energy relaxation at long times. We use this method to simulate an outstanding problem in coherent control: can we obtain weak field control of a branching ratio? The model consists of a ground state and two excited state potentials. The target is to control the population in these states using phase modulation only.

1. David Gelman and Ronnie Kosloff  
, Simulating dissipative phenomena with a random phase thermal wavefunctions, high temperature application of the Surrogate Hamiltonian approach,  
Chem. Phys. Lett., **129**, 381 (2003).
2. Mathias Nest, and Ronnie Kosloff,  
Quantum dynamical treatment of inelastic scattering of atoms at a surface at finite temperature: The random phase thermal wave function approach,  
J. Chem. Phys., **127**, 134711 (2007).
3. Gil Katz, David Gelman, Mark Ratner and ronnie Kosloff,  
Stochastic surrogate Hamiltonian,  
J. Chem. Phys. **129**, 034108 (2008).

---

\*Electronic address: [gkatz@chem.northwestern.edu](mailto:gkatz@chem.northwestern.edu)

†Electronic address: [ronnie@fh.huji.ac.il](mailto:ronnie@fh.huji.ac.il)

---

‡Electronic address: [ratner@chem.northwestern.edu](mailto:ratner@chem.northwestern.edu)