

# SYMMETRIES OF $\Delta^2$

Michael Eastwood and Thomas Leistner

question of Ernie Kalnins

Notation: work on Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with standard metric  $g_{ab}$ , write  $\nabla_a \equiv \partial/\partial x^a$ , and Laplacian  $\Delta = g^{ab} \nabla_a \nabla_b = \nabla^a \nabla_a$ .

## Definitions

• symmetry of  $\Delta^2$

$\mathcal{D}$  such that

$$\Delta^2 \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} \Delta^2$$

↑ some linear differential operator

• equivalence

$\mathcal{D}_1 \equiv \mathcal{D}_2$  means

$$\mathcal{D}_1 - \mathcal{D}_2 = \mathcal{P} \Delta^2$$

↑ some linear differential operator

• conformal Killing tensor

$$\nabla(a V^{bcd\dots e}) = g^{(ab} \phi^{cd\dots e)}$$

↑ symmetric trace-free    ↑ some tensor

$$\text{equivalently } \nabla(a \underbrace{V^{bcd\dots e}}_{s \text{ indices}}) = \frac{s}{n+2s-2} g^{(ab} \nabla_f V^{cd\dots e) f}$$

Ⓐ

•  $\nabla(a \nabla^b \nabla^c W^{d\dots e}) = g^{(ab} \phi^{cd\dots e}$

↑ symmetric trace-free

Ⓑ

(generalised conformal Killing tensor (Nikitin, Smirnov, ...))

## Theorem 1

$\mathcal{D}$  symmetry of  $\Delta^2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is canonically equivalent to one of the form

$$\underline{V^{bcd\dots e} \nabla_b \nabla_c \nabla_d \dots \nabla_e + W^{d\dots e} \Delta \nabla_d \dots \nabla_e + \text{LOTS}}$$

where  $V^{bcd\dots e}$  satisfies Ⓐ

$W^{d\dots e}$  satisfies Ⓑ

Facts (from BGG-machinery) Equations (A) and (B) are  $\mathfrak{so}(n+1,1)$ -invariant and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \{\text{Sol}^n \text{ of (A)}\} &\cong \underbrace{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \vdots & & & & \\ \hline \vdots & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}}_s \circ \\ \{\text{Sol}^n \text{ of (B)}\} &\cong \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \vdots & & & \\ \hline \vdots & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \circ \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{finite-dimensional irreducible representations of } \mathfrak{so}(n+1,1) \\ \text{(cf. Nikitin-Prilipko dimension formulae)} \end{array}$$

Theorem 2 Suppose  $V^{bcd\dots e}$  and  $W^{d\dots e}$  satisfy (A) and (B). Then there are canonically defined symmetries

$$\underline{\mathcal{D}_V = V^{bcd\dots e} \nabla_b \nabla_c \nabla_d \dots \nabla_e + \text{LOTs}}$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{D}_W = W^{d\dots e} \Delta \nabla_d \dots \nabla_e + \text{LOTs}}$$

Proof: ambient metric!  $\square$

Examples 1<sup>st</sup> order  $\mathcal{D}_V f = V^a \nabla_a f + \frac{n-4}{2n} (\nabla_a V^a) f$

2<sup>nd</sup> order  $\mathcal{D}_V f = V^{ab} \nabla_a \nabla_b f + \frac{n-2}{n+2} (\nabla_a V^{ab}) \nabla_b f + \frac{(n-2)(n-4)}{4(n+1)(n+2)} (\nabla_a \nabla_b V^{ab}) f$

$$\mathcal{D}_W f = W \Delta f - (\nabla^a W) \nabla_a f - \frac{n-4}{2(n+2)} (\Delta W) f$$

Composition  $\mathfrak{H} \ni U, V$  give first order symmetries

$$\mathcal{D}_U \mathcal{D}_V = \mathcal{D}_{U \otimes V} - \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{D}_{U \cdot V} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{[U, V]} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(n-2)(n-4)}{n^2(n+1)} \mathcal{D}_{\langle U, V \rangle}$$

$U \otimes V \in \mathfrak{H}_0$  is Cartan Product

$U \cdot V = \frac{1}{2} (UV + VU)$  - trace as matrices  $\in \mathfrak{H}_0$

$[U, V] = UV - VU$  as matrices  $\in \mathfrak{H}$  is Lie Bracket

$\langle U, V \rangle = n \text{ trace } UV$  as matrices  $\in \mathbb{R}$  is Killing Form

Corollary The symmetry algebra of  $\Delta^2$  is isomorphic to

$$\mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{H} / \langle U \otimes V - U \otimes V + \frac{1}{n} U \cdot V - \frac{1}{2} [U, V] + \frac{1}{4} \frac{(n-2)(n-4)}{n^2(n+1)} \langle U, V \rangle \rangle$$

$(\mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_0 \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{H}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{H})$  under  $so(n+1, 1)$

Kostant  $\Rightarrow$   
there are no  
further relations

Cf. Identities of  
Dan Fox